iterences in ludement VVater-Baptilm,

No Bar to Communion:

To Communicate with Saints, 25 Saints, proved lawful.

In Answer to a Book writted by the Baptifis, and published by Mr. T.P. and Mr. W. K. entituled. Some ferious Reflettions on that part of Mr. Bunyan's Confession of Faceb, touching Church-Communition with Indiantered Believers.

Wherein.

Their Objections and Arguments are Animes the Doctrine of Communion Hill All and Vindicared.

Here is also Mr. Henry Jeffe's Judgmens in the Cale, fully declaring the Ductrine I have

By John Bunyan.

Should not the multicade of words be infrared t and fooded A man peace? and when their muckeft, fiell no make make the fmm ! Job 11. 213. I am for Peare, but when I freak they are for War, Platting.

Landon, Printed for John Williams, and are to be fold at h in Exchange-Alley, next door to the Exchange Coffee House over against the Royal-Exchange, 1673.

Differences in Judgment

V Vater-Baptilin

Lo En to Communion:

To Commissed towns as Sames

In Answer and Pook writter by the East and political by Mart. I said Me. If a carifuled, Some forward Artifless on the gard of the Committee of the Artifless of the careful of the careful of the Committee of the Artifless of the Committee of the Artifless of the Committee of th

Whereight.

Their Objections so I Argandi and Collected and the Double of Collections has and real

the is all the bourge of the finde Calc.

me the first surprise

The second the complete of the second second

in Exchange of the College and are as the College of the season of the Exchange of the College o

Courteous

READER

Eintrested to boliene me, I had not fet Pen to Paper about this Contraverfie, had we beamlet alon at quiet in our Christian Communion. Bus being affaulted for more than facteen years; wherein the Brathres of the Baptized was (as they had their opportunity) have fought to break an in precay mearly because we are not in their way all baptized first : I could not, I durft not, forbear so de wlittle, if it might be no fettle the Brothren, and to arm them against the attempts, which alfo of late they begin to revive upon m. That I deny the Ordinance of Baptifm, or that I have placed one piece of an Argument against it, (chough they feign it.) is quite without colour of truth. All I fay, is, That the Church of Christ hath not Warrant to keep out of their Communion the Christian that is discovered to be a visible Saint by the Word, the Christian that walketh according to his Light with God. I will not make Reflections supon those unbandsom brands that my Bretkren have laid upon me for this, as that I am a Machivilian, a man devilifty proud, infolent,

To the Reader.

profession, and the like; neither will I fay as they. The Lord rebuke thee; words fixer the fine to the Devil, then a Bruther. But Reader, read and compare, lay afide Prejudice and Judge. What Mr. Kiffin hath done in the matter I forgive, and love him never the worse, but must stand by my Principles because they are peaceable, godly, profitable, and such as tend to the Edification of my Brother, and as I believe will be justified in the day of Judgment.

I bave also bere presented these with the Opinion of Mr. Henry Jesse, in the Case, which providentially I met with, as I was coming to London to put my Papers to the Press, and that it was his Judgment is Asserted to me, known many years since to some of the Baptists; to whom It was sent, but never yet Asswered; and will get be Attested if need shall require. Farewel.

Thine in all Christian Service,

according to my Light and Power,

fohn Bunyan.

Differences



Differences in Judgment about Water-Baptism no Bar to Communion.

Persons that wear chem in administra

be serial in your ind

SIR.

Our feemingly ferious reflections upon that part of my plain-hearted Confeilion of Faith, which rendeeth a Reason of my freedom to Communicare with those of the Saints and Faithful, who differ from me about Water-Bagtife, I have read, and confidered, and have weighed them fo well as my rank and abilities will admit me to do. But finding yours (if I miflake not) far fort of a candid Replication; I thought convenient, not only to tell you of those impercinencies everywhere scattered ap and down in your Book; but allo, that in my simple opinion, your rigid and Churchdisquiering-Principles are not fit for any Age and state of the Church.

But before I enter the body of your Book, give me leave a little to discourse you about your Preamble to the same, wherein are swo miscarriages unworthy your pretended serious.

ness, because void of love, and humility.

A 2

The

Differences abent Water Baptifus,

The first is, In that you closely distain my Penson, because of my law descent among mendigmenting mediar a Person of THAT Rank, that med not so be breded, or attended unto,

Page 1.

Anja. What it is that gives a man reverence with you, I know not; but for certain, He that despites the Paor, reproached his Maker: yet a poor man is better than a lyar. To have gay-cloathing, or gold-rings, or the Persons that wear them in admiration; or to be partial in your judgment, or respects, for the sake, or upon the account of stell and blood, doubtles convicted you to be of the Law a transgressor, and not without partiality, see, in the midst of your seeming sancti-

Again you fay: I had not medled with the Controver fie at all, had I found any of parts that would divert themselves to take notice of YOM.

pag. 2.

Read

A. What need you, before you have shewed one syllable of a reasonable Argument in opposition to what I Assert, thus trample my Person, my Gifts, and Grace (have I any) to distainfully under your seet? What a kind of a YOU am I? And why is MY Rank so mean, that the most gracious and others.

Pfal. 1.7,2 Rank fo mean, that the most gracious and godly among you, may not duly and soberly consider of what I have faid? Was it not the art of the falle Apostles of old to say thus? to befpatter a man, that his Doctrine

r Cor. 10. might be difregarded. Is not this the Car-

60m-

essemptible, did not rile to be in the metable of the Saines; for they knew the Wind blew Joh 3.2; where its lifted. Neither is it high birth, worldly breeding, or weath 3 but electing Jam 3. 27. live, grace, and the withom that comes from Heaven, that those who strive for strictness of order in the things, and Kingdom of Christ, should have in regard, and esteem. Need I reade you a Lecture? Hath not God chosen 1 Cor. 12 this foolist, the week 4 the base, you and over 17, 28, things that are not; to bring to mought things that are set it, to bring to mought things that are set it, to bring to mought things that are ? Why then do you despite my rank,

my fiere, and quality in the World ?

As for my Confession of Faith, which you also secretly despite, pag. r. If it be good, and godly, who may is not be accepted? If I have spoken evil, bear winness of the evil; but if well, why smirest chourse? If you, and the Brethren of your way, did think it convenient to shew to the World what you held; if perhaps by that means you might escape the Prison: why might not I, after above xx years indurance there, give the World a view of my Faith and Practice; if peradventure, wrong Thoughts, and falle Judgments of me, might by that means be abated, and removed.

But you fuggelt ; I did it, becamfe I was fo willing to be known in the World by my SIN-

GULAR Faith, and Practice.

How fingular my Faith and Practice is, may be better known to you bereafter : but that I did it for a popular applant and fame, as your world from to bear (for they proceed from a taunting Spirit) that will be known

to

Differentistation Mater Baptifer,

cuceptable, had de yab one or retrotted to los. 5.
abblett ban ; rathord ynaved assimeteles los. 5.
abblett ban ; rathord ynaved assimeteles los. 5.
behilden eine liw blood ne guinalen eine los. 5.
behilden eine los eines eine los eines los eines

MANd even now, obdfire I gol any furthery I will raive you acoust of the Reafon, of vint publishing that part thereof which you to lively oppose the case of the characteristics and bluedt

reference rigid Brethren of your way imade, no only upon this Congregation, to trent it; but also upon many others about as a if peradventure they highe break us in pieces, and draw from us Distiples after them.

boa (faults of I fay) upon this Congregation by thinks for no lefs than thefe factor or eighteen years : Yea, my felf they have fent for, land endeavoured to perswade me to break Communion with my Brethren ; also with many others they have often campered, if haply their feeds of Division might take. Neither did they altogether fail of their purpole, for some they did rent and dismember from us but none but thole, of whom now they begin to be ashamed. The Judgment of God to following their delign, that the Persons which then they prevailed upon, are ther were these Spirits content with that discord obey did fow among us, but they proeceded to feize upon others. But to pals thefe: The wild, and unfound Politions, they have urged to maintain their Practice, would be roo large here to infert, sine a some and a

Now

Aldw. Sir. to lettle the Brethren (the Brethren of our Community) and to prefere fuch diseases among others, was the cause of my publishing my Papers and confidening my Concern in the House of God, I could do no less than to give them warning. These even man might deliver his Soul.

You proceed, faying, It is my liberty, as well as athers into whose hands it falls, to weigh what you have faid in Trushs ballance, and it is found too light, to reject it whether you

Anfa. Do but grant me, without maching of me, the liberty you defire to take, and God helping me, I defire no more to this for my felf among you.

As to your laying, that I proudly and imperiously insult, because I say they are Babes and Carnal, that attempt to break the Reace and Camminion of Churches, though upon better presences than Water. You must know I am still of that mind, and shall be so long as I see the Effects that follow viz. The breach of Love, taking off Christians from the more weighty things of God; and to make them quarrel and have heart burnings one against another.

Where you are pleased to charge me with Raging, for laying shole Eighteen particular Crimes to the charge of SUCH who exclude Christians from Church-Communion, and debarthem their Heaven-horn Priviledges, for the want of that, which yet God never made, the Woll of Division between m. (pag. 116.)

I fay,

Differentiation and a Different

Flay, when you can prove. That God bath made Water-Baptism that Wall, and that the street Biglicean Charges by wholly mit only in that; then you may time exhough call my language such as wanteth Charity: but I question though that was granted, whether your laying, I RAGE, will be justified in the day of Judgmens.

My great noife (as you call it) about an initiating Ordinaties, you fay, you fall take

no norice of pag. 3.

Por if Baptism be not that but another; and if visible Saints may einer into Fellow-thip by that other, and are no where forbidden so to do, because they have not light into Water-Baptism; it is of weight to be considered by me; yea, and of others too who

are unprejudiced.

2. How ignorant you are of such as hold it the initiating Ordinance I know not: not how long you have been of that perfection I know not: This I know, that then of your own Parcy, as ferious, godly, and it may be more learned than yourfell, have within less than this twelve month urged it. Mr. D. in my hearing, did from Row. 6. 1, 2. in the Meeting in Lot blury affirm it: Also my much effected Friend Mr. D. A. did twite in a Conference with me Affert it.

3. But whatever you say, whether for, or against, 'cls no matter'; for while you deny it to be the entering Ordinance, 'you account it the Wall, Bar, Bole, and Door; even that which

which must separate between the rightsons, and the rightcous; nay, you make want of Light therein; a ground so exclude the most Godly your Communion, when every Novice in Religion shall be received into your bosom, and be of essent with you because he hath (and from what ground God knows) submitted to Water Baptism.

I am glad that in page 4. you conclude with me what is the initiating Ordinance but withel, give me leave to correct, as I think, one extravagant expression of yours.

You fay, 'The CONSENT on all bands and NOT HING elfe, that makes them Members of particular Churches, and not Earth

and Baptifm. pag. 4. Ochi, to women a man

1

44

3

m

3

-

it

00

10

d

İ

Ř

è

2

r

C

You might have stopped at [and nothing else] you need not in particular have rejetted. Faith: your first Error was bad enough, what? NOTHING else but Conferm? What? not so much as a respect to the matter or end? Why then are not all the Communities of all the High-way-men in the Land, truly Constituted Churches of Christ; unless you can prove that they hold sogether, but not by son-

What? Consent and nothing elfo? But why do YOU throw out FAITH? why E throw out Baptism; which because you cannot as to the case in hand fetab in again, therefore OUT must Faith go too. Tour action is much like that Harlots, that stood to a King. 3. be judged by Solomon, who because her own Child was dead, would have her Neighbours.

killed

hilled allo Faith (Sir) both in the Profeffion and Confession of it, is of immediate and also absolute concern, even in the very act of the Churches reception, of this or another Member: Throw out Faith, and there is no fach thing as a Christian, peither visible nor invifible : You ought to receive no man. but upon a comfortable facisfaction to the Church, that you are now receiving a Believer Faith, whether it be favingly there of no, is the great Argument with the Church in receiving any : we receive not men as menbut the man immediately under that supposition : He bath Fairbs he is a Chriftian Sir, Confent, fimply without Faith, makes no man a Member of the Church of God; because then would a Church not cease to be a Church, whoever they received among them. Yea, by this Affertion you have justified the Church of Rome it felf, to be to this day both good, and godly, unless you can prove that they did at first, and do now receive their unbelieving Members, without their own Conhantes Churches of Cauft; unich voutnes

The Church hath no such Liberty to receive men without respect to Faith; yea Faith and Holines, must be the Essentials, or Basis, upon, and for the lake of which you receive them: Hoines (Isay) yet not such as is circumstantial, but that which is such in the very heart of it: Pray you in your next therefore word it better, lest while you slights and trample upon me, you stand before all blame-worthy, your self.

-

4

1

1

ote

y D-

re

le

1.

ic

ŕ

6

1,

-

0

.

2

.

t

ŕ

-

2

1

6

5

: . : The Scriptures you speak of T did not in my first (page 68.) produce to shew persons unbaptized might hold Communion with the Church (though I am fully convinced they may) but to shew, that knowledge of those Persons of their Faith and Holines in general, ought first to be shewed to the Church before the can lawfully receive them, Act, 927, 26, 27. 16.10. 2 Cor. 8.22

As to my Answer to a Question (pag. 700) which you have at pag. 1. of yours corrupted, and then abused: I tell you again, That a discovery of the Faith and Holines, and a Declaration of the willingness of a Petion to subject himself to the Laws and Sovernment of Christ in his Church, is a ground sufficient to receive such a Member.

Bur you descant; Is Biprifin none of the

Anjw. It is none of those Laws, neither any part of them, that the Church, as a Church, should shew her Obedience by: For albeit that Baptism be given by Christ our Lord to the Church, yet not for them to worthip him by as a Church. Shew me what Church Ordinance it is; and when, or where the Church, as a Church is to practice it, as one of those Laws and Appointments that he hath commanded his Church to shew to him her Obedience by.

Again, That fubmitting to Water Baptism, is a fign or note, that was ever required by any of the Primitive Churches, of him that would hold Fellowship with them; or that

Differences dans Warn Septifus,

is infolerty fuch Grace and Holinels into these char submir thereto, as to expacitate them for such a Priviledge; or that they did acknowledge is a sign thereof. I find not in all the Eible,

A find not (as I told you in my first) that Baptish is a fign to any, but the Person chan is baptited. The Church hath her farisfaction of the Person, from better proof, Col.2.22, Row. 6.1,2,3,4. T. Cor. 15. 29. All 2. 28.

84 22,16. I Pet. 1.21.

I rold you also. That Baptism makes thee no Member of the Church, neither doth it make these a visible Saint. It givesh these, aborefore, neither right to, we bring of Membership at al. Why, Sir, did you not fanswer these things? but ship them with others, as if you were unconcerned; troubling your Reader with such kind of infinuations, as must needs be unsavoury to godly ears.

You make the Maral Law none of Christs, but Mase's; not the Sons, but the Servants; and tell me, because I plead for Faith, and Idoliness, according to Moral Duties Gashellined (they are my words, pag. 70.) whereby we ought to judge of the fitness of Members; that therefore Mases is more beholding

to me than Chrift. pag. 6.

Sir, Know you not yet, that a difference is to be put betwirt those Rules that discover the Essentials of Holiness, and those that in themselves are not such; and that that of Faith and the Moral Law is the one; and Baptism, &c. the other?

18

Is not Love to God, shhorrence of Idola to forbear Blafpheming, to honour our Pa rents, to do no Murther, to forbear Theft, no to bear Falle witnels, nor Covet, &cc. are not (I fay) thefe the Precepts of the Lord Takus, because delivered by Majer? Or, are these fuch as may better be broken, than for want of light to forbear Baptilm with Water? Of doth a man while he liveth in the neglect of thele, and in the mean time buftle about thele you call Gospel-Commands, most honour Christ, or best fir himself for Fellowship with the Saints? Need I tell you. That the Faith of Christ, with the Ten Commandments, are as much now Golpel-Commands as Baptilm ; and ought to be in as much and far more respect with the holy ones than that, or other the like.

×

-

ie

zť

is

n

2.

cc

it

u,

er

if

2-

uft

ls,

33.

nd

el.

rê-

m-

ing

RCE

ACT.

in

of ind

Is

Yea, thall I tell you; That Baptilm will neither admit a man into Fellowship, nor keep him there, if he be a transgressor of a Moral Precept; and that a man who believeth in Jelus, and fulfilleth the Royal Law, doth more glorifie God, and honour Religion in the World, than he that keepeth (if there were lo many) ten thouland figurative Laws.

As to thole Commands that respect God's Instituted Worship in a Church, as a Church, I have told you that Baptilm is none of them, and you have been driven to confess in . The Pag. 40. of Church then must first look to Faith, then to Jour Book, good Living according to the Ten Commandments: after that the must respect those Appointments of our Lord Jelus, that respects

her

her ourward order and discipline, and then the walks as becomes her, liming if the neg-lecteth either; finning if the over valueth either.

But why did you not Answer those Texts I produced for the ftrengthening of my Ar-I Cor. 5. 12

Deal fairly; Answer those Texts, with the Argument made upon them; and when you have after a godly manner done that, you may the more boldly condemn.

You tell me, That in page 9 3 of mine, I tay, None ever received Baptifm without light therein.

What if I did? (as I did not but you grant it; And now I will ask you, and pray deal fairly in your Answer, May man be a visible Saint without light therein ; May he have a good Confidence without light therein? And ceing that Bapeling is none of the worthip that Chieff Instituted in his Church for them to practife as a Church, must he be kept dark about all other things concerning the Worthip of God in his Church,

You have answered already, pag. 7. That they mught to be affamed, and to regent of that abomination (their fprinkling) BEFORE they come to have a fight of the pattern of the House of Gad, the goings in and the comings (si there-But, of, Ezek.43.10;11.

But, Sir, where do you find that want of Light in Water-Baptism, or because a man Hath been Sprinkled, that he is to be kept dark in all other Temple-Institutions, till he be ashamed and repent of that? Pray produce the Texts, for Ezekiel helps you nothing : He speaks only of the Pattern of the HOUSE, the goings out, and comings in thereof. As for the coming IN, you have already confeffed. That Baptism is not the entring. Ordinance. And as for the Worship that Christ hath Instituted in his Church, as a Church, I fay (and you also have faid it page 40) Baptilm is none of the Forms thereof, none of the Ordinances thereof, none of the Laws thereof: for Baptilm is, as to the Practice of it, that which is without the Church, without the Honfe of God: Then by your own Text, if a man do repent him of his Christening in his Childhood, he may be received into Fellowship without submitting to Baptilin : but I will not ftrain you too far.

You add, Is it a Persons Light that giveth

being to a Precept?

ts

IF

u

T

TY CES,

Anfin. Who faid it? Yet it's his Light and Faith about it, that can make him to do it acceptably.

You ask again, Suppose men plead want of

Light in other Commands ?

Answ. If they be not such, the forbearance of which, discapaciates him of Membership, he may yet be received to Fellowship. But what if a man want Light in the Sup-

par 3 pag. 7.

Anfw. There is more to be faid in that cafe than in the other ; for that is a part of that Worship which Christ hath Instituted for his Church, to be Conversant in as a Church : preferring them as fuch, with their Communion with their Head, and with one another as Members of him. The Cup of bleffing which we bless, is it not the Communion of the Blood of Christ? The Bread which we break , is it not the Commun. nof the Body of Chrift ? For we being many are one Bread, and one Body; for we are all partakers of that one Bread, I Cor. 10. 16, 17. Wherefore this being a Duty incumbent on the Church. as a Church; and on every Member of that Body as such, they are obliged in that case more closely to deal with the Members, than in that wherein they are not SO concerned; and with which as fuch, they have NO-THING to do. No man baptizeth by virthe of his Office in the Church; no man is baptized by virtue of his Membership there.

But what if aman want Light in bis Duty to

the Poor ? pag. 8.

Answ. If he doth, God must give it him; I mean to know his Duty as a Church-Member. Now I will add, But what if he that can give a shilling, giveth nothing? I suppose all that the Church can do in that case, is but to warn, to exhort, and charge him, and to shew him his Dury; and if he meglect, to shew him, That he that sweeth stringly,

fu

in

to

sparingly, shall not reap plentifully, i Cor. 9.6. But to cut a man off for this, as you forwardly urge, pag. 8. would argue that Church (at least I think so) a little too bold with so high and weighty a censure. I plead not here for the Churle, but seek to allay your heat: And should it be granted that such deserve as you would have it, this makes no matter to the case in hand.

Now whereas you suggest, That Moral Evils are but sins against men, pag. 8. You are too much unadvised; The Moral Evil (as you call it) whether you respect the breach of the first or second Table, is first and immediately a sin against God; and more insufferable, yea and damnable, than for a man for want of Light to forbear either Baptism

or the Lord's Supper.

C

11

is

r

192

00

of

at C

١,

35

ſe

n

;

-

is

to

;

IC

I

36

C

b

7>

But say you, We have now found an Advocate for Sin against GOD, in the breach of

one of HIS boly Commands ?

Answ. As if none of the Moral Precepts were HIS. But, Sir, who have I pleaded for, in the denyal of any one Ordinance of God? Yea, or for their neglect of it either? What I say, is but that men must have Light, that they may not do in darkness, or Papishlike, live by an implicite Faith.

But I see you put no difference, between an open breach of the Law, and a forbearing that which to him is doubtful. But I will suppose a case; There is a man wants Light in Baptism, yet by his Neighbour is present to it: he saith he seeth it not to be his Dury;

6 2

the

Rom, 14.

the other faith, he fins if he doth it not: Now feeing whatforver is not of Faith, is Sin; what should this man do? If you say, Let him use the means: I say so too. But what, if when he hath used it, he still continueth dark about it; what will you advise him now? If you bid him wait, do you not encourage him to live in fin, as much as I do? Nay, and seeing you will not let him for want of Light in that, obey God in other his Inftitutions, what is it, but to fay, Seeing you live for want of Light in the neglect of Baptism, we will make you, while you continue fo, live (though quite against your Light) in the breach of all the reft . And where you are Commanded thus, you may shew the place when you find it.

Now where you urge, that you are one of them that lay, The Epifles were writ to particular. Churches, and so serve nothing at all for our kind of Communion: Urging further, That it will be difficult for me to prove, that they were also directed to particular Saints.

Anfw. I wish there were nothing harder

that were good for me to do.

But what should be the reason that our Author, with others of his Opinion, should flickle so hard to prove all the Epistles were wrote to particular Churches? Why, because those Members were, as they think, every one baptized; and so the Epistles from which we setch our Arguments for the Love and Concord of Saints, to be only proper to them-lelves. But if this be true, there is virtue indeed

3:

h

c

, it

-

C

1,

e

e

e

f

12

,

tt

r

d

re

(e

iċ

re

1-

n-

ue

indeed, and more than ever I dreamed of, in partaking of Water-Baptism: For if that shall take away the Epistles, and consequents ly the whole Bible, from all that are not baptized; then are the other Churches, and also particular Saints, in a very deplorable condition. For he asketh me very devoutly. Whether any unbaptized Persons mere concerned in thefe Epifles? pag. 9. Bur why would they take from us the Holy Scriptures? Verily, that we might have nought to justifie our practice withal: For if the Scriptures belong only to baptized Believers. they then belong not to the rest; and in truth, if they could perswade us to yield them this grant, we should but forrily justifie our practice. But I would ask these men, If the Word of God came out from them? or if Joh 17.14 it came to them only? Or, whether Christ I Cor. 14. hath not given his whole Word to every one 36. that believeth, whether they be baptized, or in, or out of Church Fellowship? Fohe 173 Or, whether every Saint in some fort, hath not the keyes of the Kingdom of Heaven; which are the Scriptures and their Power? 10/12

Would to God they had learnt more modefty, than thus to take from all others, and appropriate to themselves, and that for the sake of their observing a Circumstance in Religion, so bigh, and glorious a Priviledge.

But we will come a little to proof: What Church will this Author find in Rome, that time the Epistle was sent to the Brethren there, Romis. besides that Church that was in Aquila 15,5%.

B 3

house,

house, although many more Saints were then in the City? Yea, the Apostle in his faluration at the beginning, imbraceth them ouly as Brethren, without the least intimation of their being gathered into Fellowship; To all that be at Rome, beloved of God, called to be Saints, Grace to you, coc. Chap. 1.7. To all there, to all in that City, beloved of God, and that are Converted to the Lord Jesus Christ. A Church there was in Aquila's house, and that there were many more Saints besides is (and that by the Text) as manifest. Besides, considering the Rules that are given them in the 14 and 15 Chapters about their receiving one another, doth yet ftrongly fuggest to me, that they were not yet in fellowthip, but as it were now about it, when Paul wrote his Epiffle to them.

The first Epistle written to Corinth, was also wrote to all them that in every place called upon the Name of the Lord Fesus Christ, Chap. 1:2. But it will be hard work for our Author to make it manifest, that none in those dayes did call on the Name of our Lord, but

those that were first baptized.

The second Epistic also, was, not only written to the Church at Corineb, but also to a Cor. I.I. all she Saints which were in all Achaia. To the Galatians and Thessalonians indeed, his salutation was only to the Churches there: but the three Epistles before were as well to all other; as also that to the Ephesians, Philippians, and Colossians, in which the Faithful, and Saints in Christ Jesus were also every one com-

1-

25

of

U

be

111

d.

us

S

ts

ł.

n

ir

-

n

IS

d

c

11

comprehended. Befieles, To what particular Church was the Epifile to the Hebrens wrote? or the Epifile of James? both those of Peter, and the first of John? Nay, that of John was wrote to some at that time out of Fellow-thip, that they might have fellowship with the Church, Chap. 1. 1, 2, 3, 4: So that these Brethren must not have all the Scriptures: we have then a like priviledge with all Saints, to use the Scriptures for our godly editying, and to desend our selves thereby, from the assaults of those that would make spoyl of us.

But to pais this, and come to the next.

You object for that I faid, If WaterBaptifm (at the Cincumstances with which the
Church was peffred of ild.) trouble the Peace,
and would the Conformers of the Godly, difmember and break sheir Fellonships; it is, all
though an Ordinance, for the prejent predently
to be shunned. (pag. 86.).

At this (as I faith) you object (pag, no. 11.)
and fay, Did I ever find Baprifm at Peft or
Plague to Churches ? And did ever Ged fend
an Ordinance to be a Peft and Plague to bic
People ?

I Answer; I said not that God did send in for any such end at all: God's Ordinances are none of this, in themselves; nor if used as, and for the end for which God sent them. But yet both Baptism, and the Supper of the Lord, have (by being wrested our of their place) been a great affliction to the Godly both in this and other Ages. What say you to breaking of Bread, which the Devil, by B 4.

abusing, made an Eugine in the hand of Pafife to burn, starve, hang-and-draw thou lands? What fay you to John of Leyden? What work did he make by the abule of the Ordinance of Water-Baptism? And I wish this Age had not given cause, through the Church tenting-Spirits that some are possessed with, to make complaint of this matter; who have also had for their Engine the Baptism with Water: Yea, your felf Sir, fo far as Dean perceive, could you ger but the opportauity; your felf (I fay) under pretence of this innocent Ordinance, as you term it, would not flick to make in-roads, and outroads too, in all the Churches, that fuit not your farry, in the Land. For you have already been bold to affirm, That all thefe that bave baptized Infants, ought to be ashamed and repent, before they be showed the Pattern of the Honfe: And what is this but to threaten, that could you have your will of them, you would quickly take from them their prefent Church-priviledges, and let them fee nothing thereof, till those qualifications, especially subjection to Water-Baptifin, was found to attend each of them. A.A.

As so the Persons you speak of, Who have rent Churches in pieces, by making Preaching by Method, Dottrine, Reason and Use, to be Antichristian; Or, because they could not have other Ministrations personned after their fauties (pag. 11, 12.) the improdence of such with your selves, bath been heart-breaking to many a gracious Soul; an high occasion of

of stumbling on the weak, and a represent to the wayes of the Lord. That it may be prindently shunned, I referred you then for proof, to what should be offered after: but at this you cry out, and so passite.

C

2

2

And now Reader, although this Author hath thus objected against fome passages in this my first Argument for Communion with Berasons unbaptized; yet the hody of my Argument he misseth, and passeth over, as a thing not worth the Answering; whether because he forgot; or because he was conscious to himself, that he knew nor what to de there with, I will not now determine.

1. I effectually prove, That Baptism is not the initiating Ordinance. pag. 71, -75.

2. I prove, That though it was, yet the cofe may to fall out, that Members might be received without it. pag. 82, 83.

3. I prove, That Baptism makes no man a wishle Saint, nor giveth any a right to Church. Fellomship. pag. 76. A dood they much and

4. I prove, That Faith, and a Life becoming the Law of the Ten Commandments, should be the chief and most solid Argament with true Churches to receive Sainteste Fellowship.

5. I prove, That Circumcifien in the Flesh, which was the entring Ordinance of old, was a Type of Carcumcison in the beart of p. 179,80.

25, 26.

These things, with others, our Author letteth pass; although in the proof of them abideth the strength of this first Argument; to which I must intreat him in his next, to cast his eye, and give fair Answer; as also to the Scriptures Scriptures on which each are built, or he mustifulfier me to say, I am abused. Further, I make a question upon three Scriptures, Whether all the Saints, even in the Primitive times, were baptized with Water? to which also he answereth nothing; whereas he ought to have done it, if he will take in hand to Confute. (The Scriptures are, I Corn. 14, 15, 16, Rom. 6.3. Gul. 3.27.) Yet were they effectually answered, my Argument is Nothing weakened.

You come to my second Argument, drawn from Epb. 4. 4, 5, 6. Upon which a little more now to inlarge, and then to take notice

of your Objection.

The Apostle then in that Fourth of the Ephosians, exhortesh the Church there with all lowliness, and meckness, with long suffering, and forbearing one another, to ENDE AVOUR to keep the Unity of the SPIRIT in the bond of PEACE, vers. 2, 3. This done, he presents them with such Arguments, as might fasten his Exhortation to purpose upon them.

The first is, Because the Body is ONE;
There is one Body; therefore they should not
21, 23, 24, divide: For if the Church of Christ be a
Body, there ought not to be a rent or Schisin

2. His fecond Argument is, There is one Spirit, or one quickning Principle by which the Body is made to live: for having Afferted before that Christ hath indeed a Body, it was meet that he shewed also, that this Body hath life, and motion. Now that life, being more other.

9)

other, that that nourishment, or Spirit of life, from which the whole Body fully joyned together, and compath, by that which every joyne supplyeth, according to the effectual working of the measure in every part, maketh increase of the body, to the edifying of it self in love, Eph. 4.16. Now this Spirit, being first, and chiefly, in the Head, therefore none other but those that hold the Head can have this nonrishment ministred to them. Besides, This is the Spirit that knits the Body together, & makes it increase with the increase of God, Col. 2.16. This is the Unity of the Spirit which he before exhorts them to keep.

3. The third Argument is, Because their Hope is also but one. Even as you are called (sath he) in one Hope of your calling. As who should say, My Brethren, if you are called with one calling, if your Hope, both as to the Grace of Hope, and also the Object, be but one: if you hope for one Heaven, and for one Eternal Life; then maintain shat Unity of the Spirit, and Hope, while here, in love.

and the bond of peace.

-

e

0

1-

,

y

n

le

ć

C

h

4

3

C

n

h

d

S

c

4. The fourth Argument is, There is one Lord, or Husband, or Prince, to whom this Church belongs: Therefore if we have Husbands but one, Lord, and Prince but one, let us not rent into many Parties, as if we had many Husbands, Lords, and Princes, to govern us, as his Wife, his House, and Kinglidom, Is Christ divided?

5. The fifth Argument is, There is one Faith, by which we all fland justified by one Lord Jesus Christ; one Faith by which we cleape

13.

escape the Wrath of God; one Faith by which only they that have it are bleffed; yea, feeing there is but one Faith, by which we are all put into one way of Salvation, let us hold together as fuch.

6. The fixth Argument, There is one Bap-

tifm.

Now we are come to the pinch, viz. Whether it be that of Water, or no? which

I must positively deny.

1. Because Water-Baptism hath nothing to do in a Church, as a Church ; it neither bringeth us into the Church, nor is any part of our Worship when we come there; how then can the Peace and Unity of the Church depend upon Water-Baptism? Besides, he faith exprelly, It is the Unity of the Spirit, (not Water), that is here intended: and the Arguments brought to inforce it, are fuch as wholly and immediately relate to the Duty of the Church, as a Church.

2. Further, That other Text, that treateth of our being baptized into a body, faith ex-I Cor. 12. prefly it is done by the Spirit; For by one Spirit we are all baptized into one body, I Cor. 12,16. Here is the Church presented as under the notion of a Body; here is a Baptism mentioned, by which they are brought, or initiated into this Body: Now that this is the Baptilm of Water, is utterly against the words of the Text; For by one Spirit are we all baprized into one body: besides, if the Baptifm here be of Water, then is it the initiating Ordinance; but the contrary I have proved,

gu

-

g

r

t

c

h

1

e

1

e.

e

proved, and this Author stands by my Doctrine. So then, the Baptism here, respecting the Church as a Body, and Water having nothing to do to enter men into the Church, nor to command them to practife it as a Church, in order to their Peace, or Communion, or respecting the Worship of God as fuch: And (I say again) the Baptism in the fixth Argument, being urged precifely for no other purpole, but with respect to the Churches peace as a Body; it must needs be THAT Baptilm, by virtue of which, they were initiated, and joyned together in one: and that Baptilm being only that which the Spirit executeth; this therefore is that one Baptism.

7. The other Argument is also effectual; There is one God and Father of all, who is a-Vers. 6. bove all, and through all, and in you all. If we are one Body; it to it there be but one Spirit; if we have but one Hope, one Faith, and be all baptized by one Spirit into that one Body; and if we have but one Lord, one God, and he in every one of us; let us be also one; and let them that are thus qualified, both joyn together, and bold in one.

But our Author against this, objecteth, That, now I imploy my Pen against every man;

and give the lye to all Expositors, for they hold this one Baptism, to be none other than that of Water. pag. 13.

Answer those Expositors that expound certain Scriptures for Infant-Baptism, and that by

Hab. 2.4.

Ha.8. 20. Pfal.8.2 them brand us for Anabaptifs; must this drive you from your belief of the Truth? Expositors I reverence, but must live by mine own Faith: God hath no where bound himself to them more than to others, with respect to the revelation of his Mind in his Word.

But it becomes not you to run thus to Expositors, who are, as to your notions in many things, but of yesterday: Tothe Law, and to the Testimony: For out of the mouth of Babes the Lord hath ordained strength.

But you bid me tell you, What I mean by

Spirit-Baptifm ?

Answ. Sir, you mistake me, I treat not here of our being baptized with the Spirit, with respect to its coming from Heaven into us; but of that act of the Spirit, when come, which baptizeth we into a Body, or Church: It is one thing to be baptized with the Spirit in the first sense; and another to be baptized by it in the sense I treat of: for the Spirit to come upon me, is one thing; and for that when come, to implant, imbody, or baptize me into the body of Christ, is another.

Your Question therefore is grounded on a a mistake, both of my Judgment, and the words of the Apostle. Wherefore thus I soon put an end to your Objections (pag. 14.) For the Spirit to come down upon me is one thing; and for the Spirit to baptize, or implant me into the Church, is another; for to be possessed with the Spirit, is one thing; and to be led by that Spirit, is another. I conclude then; Seeing the Argument taken from

that

that one Baptism, respecteth Church-Fellowship properly; and seeing Water-Baptism medleth not with it as such; it is the other, even, that in I Cor. 12.16. that is here intended, and no other.

But you add, If nothing but extraordinary Gifts are called the baptism of the Spirit in a strict sense; then that baptism, I Cor. 12. must be Water-baptism, as well as that in the

Epbesians.

ais

13

ne

n-

a

x-

Y

ed

of

by

ot

t,

to

n

or

di

be

ne

d

or

r.

3

10

I

ne

-

0

d

1-

m

ic

Hold: You make your Conclusions before you have cause; First prove that in the Ephasians to be meant of Water-baptism, and that the Baptism in 1 Cor. 12. 16. is the Baptism you would have it; and then conclude my Argument void.

That it is the Baptism of the Holy Ghost according to the common notion, I say not; for you to affert it is the Baptism of Water, gives the lye to the Text: But that it is an act of the Holy Ghost, baptizing the Saints into a Body, or Church, you will hardly be able to make the contrary appear to be truth.

But behold, while here you would have this to be Baptism with Water, how you contradict and condemn your own Notion: You say Water-baptism is not the entering Ordinance; yet the Baptism here is such as baptizeth us into a Body: Wherefore before you say next time that this in 1 Cor. 12. 16, is meant of Water-baptism; affirm, that Water-baptism is the initiating or entering Ordinance, that your Opinion and Doctrine may hang better together.

We come to my third Argument; Which is to prove, It is lawful to bold Church-Communion with the godly fincere Believer, though he bath not been baptized with Waser, because he bath the DOCTRINE of Baptisms, Heb. 6. Which Doctrine I distinguish from the Pratice of it; the Doctrine being that which by the outward-sign is presented to us; or which by the outward-circumstance of the act is preached to the Believer, viz. the Death of Christ, my death with Christ; also his Resurrection from the dead, and mine with him to newness of life. This our Author calleth one of the strangest Paradoxes that he bath

LIGHTLY objerved.

Answ. How light he is in his Observation of things, I know not : This I am fure, the Apostle makes mention of the Doctrine of Baptilin; Now that the Dollrine of a man, or Ordinance, is the fignification of what is Preached, is apparent to very sense. What is Christ's Doctrine, Paul's Doctrine, Scripture-Doctrine, but the Truth couched under the words that are spoken? So the Doctrine of Baptism, yea and the Doctrine of the Lord's Supper, are those Truths or Mysteries that fuch Ordinances preach unto ns. And that the Doctrine of Baptism' in this sense, is the great end for which that, and the Lord's Supper was inflitured, is apparent from all the Scriptures : "it is that which the Apostle feeketh for in that eminent fixth of the Romans, Know you not that fo many of sis as were baptized into Fefus Chrift, were baptized into his

4-

be

be

6.

4-

y

is

of

e-

m

bb

ń

e

S

Ś

é

bis Death? Therefore we are buried wish bim by Beprifm, that like as Christ was raifed from the Dead by the Glory of the Father; so we should walk in newness of Life. For if we have been planted together in the likeness of his Death, we shall be also in the likeness of his Resurrection, Rom. 6.3,4,5. What is here discoursed, but the Doctrine of, or that which Baptism teacheth; with an intimation, that that was the shief, for the sake of which that Shadow was Instituted; as also that they that have the Pottrine, or that which is signified thereby, they only must reign with Christ.

Again, This is that which he seeketh for among the Carinthians; If the dead rise not at all, satch he; why then were you baptized for the dead? I Cor. 15. 22. Why then were you baptized? What did Baptism teach you? What Doctrine did it preach to you? Further, Buried with him in Baptism, wherein also you are risen again with him, through the Faith of the operation of God, who raised him from the dead. What is here in chief afferted, but the Doctrine only which Water-baptish preacheth? with an intimation, that they, and they only, are the saved of the Lord, that have heard, received, and that live in this Doctrine, Col. 2. 12, 13.

The same may be said of the Lord's Supper, it also hath its Doctrine. But against this our Author objecteth, saying, That this is called the Doctrine of Bapisson, I don't to learn.

Anjw.

Milw. Your ignorance of the Truth makes it not an Error: But I pray you, what is the Dollrine of Baptilin, if not that which Baptilin teacheth, even that which is signified thereby? As that is the Doctrine of Christ, and the Scriptures; which he and they teach as the mind of God.

But you lay, I took the Dottrine of Baptism to be the Command that a Believer should be baptised, for such ends as the Gospel expres-

feib.

Anjw. To affect that a figurative Ordinance is of God, is one thing; but the Do-Brinal fignification of that Ordinance is another! A man may preach the Command, yet none of the Dottrine which Baptism preacheth. The Dottrine lyeth not in the Command, but the mystery discovered to Faith, by the act.

You object, If the Resurrection be the Dodrine of Baptism, why doth the Apostle make that, and the Dollrine of Baptism; things di-

Ainet, in Heb.6.

Answ. The Resurrection simply considered, is not the Doctrine of Bapuss, but Christ's, and mine by him. Besides, there is more in it than the Mystery of this Resurrection; there is my death first, and then my rising with him.

But you add, Under the Lam, all the Sacrifices of that Dispensation, with their Sabbaths, were Types of that Christ, who was the sulfance of all those Ceremonies. If any of w them then that prosessed Faith in the Messian to come, should upon scruples, or want of pretended Light, neglect the whole, or part of that Typical Worship; why may not a man say of them, as this Advocate of the Practice under Debate, they had the richer and better Sacri-

fice .

th

at

ch

fi-

of

id

m

be

ſ-

i_

0-

-

et

1-

1-

-

S

y

-

e

Anfw. First, That the Brethren which refule to be baptized, as you and I would have them, refuse it for want of pretended Light, becomes you not to imagine, unless your boldness will lead you to judge, that all men want fincerity, that come not up to our judgment. Their Conscience may be better than either yours or mine; yet God, for purposes best known to himself, may forbear to give them conviction of their Duty in this particular. But what? Because they are not baptized; have they not Jelus Chrift? Or must we now be afraid to fay Christ is better than Water-baptism? Yea, God himself for the Sake of this better thing, hath fuffered in his Church a sufpension of some of his Ordis nances, yet owned them for his truly Constituted Congregation. What say you to the Church in the Wildernels? I touched you with it in my first, but perceive you listed not to meddle therewith. That Church received Members, the way which was not prescribed by, but directly against the revealed Mind of God; yet stood a true Church, their Members true Members; also that Church in that state, was fuch before whom, among whom, and to whom God continually made known himself

Differences about Water-Baptifm,

to be their God, and owned them for his

peculiar treasure.

And now I am faln upon it, let me a little inlarge: This Church, according to the then Instituted Worship of God, had Circumcifion for their entering-O.dinance, Gen. 17.13, 14. without which it was unlawful to receive any into Fellowship with them : yea, he that without it was received, was to be cut off, and cast out again. Further ; As to the Passeover, the Uncircumcized was utterly forbidden to eate it, Exed. 12. Now if our Brethren had as express prohibition to justifie their groundles Opinion, as here is to exclude the Uncircumcifed from the Communion of the Church and the Paffeover; (I fay) if they could find it written, No Unbaptized Perfon Shall enter, no Unbaptized Person shall eat of the. Supper; what a noise would they make about it? But yet let the Reader observe, that although Circumcifion was the entering-Ordinance. and our Author faith Baptifm is not; yea, though this Church was exprelly forbidden to receive the Uncircumcifed (and we have not a syllable now to forbid the Unbaptized) yet this Church received Members without. and otherwise than by this entering-Ordinance. They also admitted them to the Passeover; yea, entertained, retained, and held Communion with them fo long as forty years without it. I fay, again, That the number of this fort of Communicants was not fo few as fix hundred thousand. Moreover, to these Uncircumcifed was the Land of Canaan given, yea,

vea, a possession of part thereof before they were Circumcised; but the old Circumcised ones might not enter therein. I am the larger in this, because our Author hath over-look'd my first mention thereof. And now Lask, What was the reason that God continued his Presence with this Church notwithstanding this transgression? Was it not because they had that richer and better thing, the Lord Fefors Christ ? For they did all eat of that spiritual Bread, and drank of that spiritual Rock which followed them, and that Rock was Chrift, I Cor. 10. I confels I find them under rebukes and judgments in the Wilderness, and that they were many times threatned to be destroyed; but yet I find not so much as one check for their receiving of Members Uncircumcised. Further, In the New Testament where we have a Catalogue of their fins; and also of their punishment for them; we find not a word about Circumcifion, nor the smallest intimation of the least rebuke for neglecting the entering-Ordinance, I Cor. 10. 5, 10. I will therefore fay of them, as I have also said of my Brethren, They had the richer and better thing.

But you object, That this putterh the whole of God's Instituted Worship both under the Law and Gospel, to the highest uncertainties, p. 17.

Anjw. This putteth our Oppolers out of their road, and quencheth the flame of their unwarrantable zeal. For if the entering-Ordinance, if the Ordinance without which no man might be added to the Church, was

C 3

laid afide for forty years; yea, if more than fix hundred thousand did Communicate with them without it : I say again, If they did it, and held Communion with God, that notwithstanding; yea, and had not (that we read of) all that time, one small check for so doing; why may not we now, enter Communion, hold Communion, maintain Communion, Church-Communion, without being judged, and condemned by you? because we cannot for want of Light be all baptized before; especially considering Baptilin makes no man a Saint, is not the entering-Ordinance, is no part of the Worship of God injoyned the Church as a Church. To conclude, Although we receive Members unbaptized, we leave not God's Instituted Worship at uncertainties, especially what he hath commanded us as his Church; we only profess our want of Light in some things; but see no Word to warrant the forbearance of our Duty in all, for want of perswasion in one.

You object, I call Baptism a Circumstance, a shew, an outward show, I NICK-NAME

it.

Answ. Deep reproof! But why did you not flew me my evil in thus calling it, when opposed to the Substance, and the thing signified? Is it the Substance, is it the thing signified? And why may not I give it the Name of a Shem; when you call it a symbole, and compare it to a Gentleman's Livery?

But you fay, I call it an OUTWARD

Answ. Is it an Inward one? What is

It is a Command?

in

tĥ

id

t-

o

1-

i-

g

e.

25

f

e

1

t

,

Answ. But doth that install it in that place and dignity, that was never intended for it?

You object further, They cannot have the Doctrine of Baptism that understand not our

way of administring it. pag. 18.

This is your mistake, both of the Dottrin and Thing it felf. But if you will not SCORN to take NOTICE of me, I advise you again to consider, That a man may find Baptism to be Commanded, may be informed who ought to administer it : may alto know the proper Subject: and that the manner of baptizing is Dipping; and may desire to practise it because it is Commanded, and yet know nothing of what Water-baptism preacheth; or of the Mystery baptism sheweth to Faith. But that the Doltrine of Baptifm is not the Practice of it, not the outward act, but the thing fignified; and that every Believer hath that, must argue you more than too bold to deny it.

But say you, Who taught you to divide betwist Christ and his Precepts, that you word it at such a rate? That he that hath the one,

&c.

Answ. To say nothing of Faith, and the Word: verily Reason it self teachesh it. For if Christ be my Righteonsness, and not Water;

ter; if Christ be my Advocate, and not Water; if there be that good and blessedness in Christ, that is not in Water; then is Jesus Christ better than Water; and also in these to be eternally divided from Water; unless we will make them Co-Saviours, Co-Advocates, and such as are equally good, and profitable to men.

But say you, I thought that he that bath Christ, had an orderly right to all Christ's Promises and Precepts; and that the Precepts of Christ, are part of the riches that a Believer

hath in and by Christ.

Answ. A Believer hath more in Christ, then either Promise or Precept; but all Believers know not all things, that of God are given to them by Christ. But must not they use, and enjoy what they know, because they know not all? Or must they neglect the weightier matters, because they want Mint, and Annise, and Commin? Your pretended orderly right is your fancy; there is not a syllable in the whole Bible, that bids a Christian to forbear his Duty in other things, because he wanteth (as you term it) the symbole, or Water-baptism.

But say you, He that despiseth his Birthright of Ordinances, our Church-priviledges, will be found to be a prophane Person, as Esau, in

God's account.

Baptism is not the Priviledge of a Church, as such. But what? are they all ESAW'S indeed? Must we go to Hell, and be damited for want of Faith in Water baptism?

n

e

And take notice, I do not plead for a despising of Baptism, but a bearing with our Brother, that cannot do it for want of Light. The best of Baptism he hath, viz. the signification thereof; he wanteth only the outward shew, which it he bad, would not prove him a truly visible Saint; it would not tell me he had the Grace of God in his heart; it is no Characteristical note to another of my Sonship with God.

But why did you not Answer these parts of my Argument? Why did you only cavil at words? which if they had been left out. the Argument yet stands good. He that is not baptized, if yet a true Believer, bath the Doctrine of Baptism; yea, be ought to have it before he be Convicted, it is his duty to be baptized, or elfe be playeth the Hypocrite. There is therefore no difference between that Believer that is, and he that is not yet baptized with Water; but only bis going down into the Water, there to perform an outward Ceremony of the Substance which be bath already; which vet be is not Commanded to do with respect to Member (hip with the Church ; but to obtain by that further understanding of his Priviledge by Christ, which before he made Profession of, and that as a visible Believer.

But to come to my fourth Argument, which you so tenderly touch as it it burnt your singers: I am bold (say I) to have Communion with wishle Saints as before, because God hath Communion with them, whose example in the case we are strictly commanded to solom.

Receive

Rom. 15.7 Receive ye one another, an Christ Fesus hath received you, to the glory of God. Yea, though they be Saints in Opinion contrary to you, or I.

Rom. 15.1. We that are strong, ought to bear the insurances of the weak, and not to please our selves. Infirmities that are Sinful: for they that are Natural, are incident to all. Insurances therefore they are, that for want of Light, cause a Man to err in Circumstantials: And the reason upon which Paul groundeth this advent.

Vers. 3. monition is; For Christ pleased not himself, but as it is written, the reproaches of them

You say to this, pag. 20. That it is Paul's direction to the Church at Rome bow to receive their Brethren Church-Members,

that reproached thee are fallen upon me-

pag. 20.

I answer.

in this City? are not the poor Saints now in this City? are not they concerned in these instructions? or is not the Church by these words at all directed how to carry it to those that were not yet in sellowship? A bold Assertion! but grounded upon nothing, but

that you would bave it fo.

2. But how will you prove that there was a Church, a rightly conflicted Church at Rome, befides that in Aquila's house? Chap. 36. Neither doth this Epittle, nor any other in the whole Book of God affirm it. Besides, since Paul in this last Chapter saluteth the Church, as in this Mans house, but the other only as particular Saints, it giveth farther ground of Conviction at you, that those

those others were not as yer imbodyed in such a fellowship.

3. But suppose there was another Church besides; it doch not therefore follow, that the Apostle exhortest them only to receive persons already in fellowship; but bim, even Rom.14. I every bim, that there was neak in the Faith,

but not to doubtful disputations.

gh

I.

ies

0-

re

e-

ſe

ie

-

5

4. Suppose again, The receiving here exhorted to, be such as you would have it; yet the Rule by which they are directed to do it, is that by which we perceive that Christ bath received them: But Christ did not receive them by Baptism, but as given to him by the Father: Him therefore concerning whom we are convinced, that he by the Father is given to Christ, Him should we receive.

5. But what need I grant you that which cannot be proved? yet if you could prove it, it availeth nothing at all; because you may not, cannot, ought not to dare to limit the Exhortation to receiving of one another into each others affections only; and not also receiving Saints into Communion.

But you object: To make God's receiving the Rule of our receiving, in all cases will not

bold. pag.21.

Anjw. Keep to the thing Man: If it hold in the cale in hand, it is enough, the which you have not denyed. And that it holds thus, is plain, because commanded. But let the Reader know, that your putting in that way of his receiving which is invisible to

us, is but an unhandsome stradling over my Argument, which treateth only of a visible receiving; fuch as is manifest to the Church: This you knew, but fought by evading, to turn the Reader from confidering the Arength of this my Argument. The receiving then (faid I, p.29.) because it is fet an example to the Church, is such as must needs be visible unto them; and is best discovered by that word that describeth the visible Saint : Wholo thenyou can judg a visible Saint, one that walketh with God, you may, nay ought to judg by the (ame Word, God bath received bim. Now bim that God receiveth, bim fould you receive. But will any object; they cannot believe that God receiveth the unbaptized Saints? I will not suppose you so much stupified, and therefore shall make no answer. But you feem to be much-offended, because I said, Vain Man! Think not by the straightness of thine Order in outward, and bodily conformity to outward and sbadowish Circumstances , that thy peace is maintained with God ?

But why fo much offended at this?

Because, you intend by this the Brethren of

the Baptized way.

A. If they be vain Men, and let up their OWN Order; how straight soever they make it, they are womby to be reproved: If they have rejected the Word of the Lord; what wisdom is in them. And as you suggest the first, I affirm the second. But if you would be justified in excluding those, with whom yet you see God hath Communion, because they

Jer.8.9.

yet fee not a shadow with you; produce the Scripture for fuch Order, that we may believe it is the Order of God : But deal fairly, left we thew your nakedness, and others

fee your shame.

le

50 ie

22

.

t

9

,

You tell me of the Order of the Coloffians, Chap. 2.5. but if you can prove that that Church refused to hold Communion with that Saint whom they knew to be received by Christ, and held Communion with him, or that none but those that are baptized are received by, and hold Communion with him, then you justifie your Order. In the mean while the whole of mine Argument stands firm against you; You must have Communion with visible Saints, because God bath Commumon with them, whose Example in the Cale we are strictly Commanded to follow.

But you ask me, If ontward and bodily Con-

formity be become a crime ? pag.23.

Anfw. I no where faid it: But know that to glorifie God with our bodies, respecteth chiefly far higher and more weighty things than that of Water-baptifm, What foever to Rom. 14. not of Faith is fin; and to fet up an Ordinance, though an Ordinance of God, that by it the Churches may be pulled in pieces, or the truly visible Saints excluded Communion with their Brethren; I fay again, To make Water-baptism a bar and division betwixe Saint and Saint, every whit otherwise gracious and holy alike: This is like fasting for frife, and debate, and to fmite with the fift of wickedness; and is not to be found within

the whole Bible, but is wholly an order of your own deviling. As to the Peace you make an Objection about (pag.23.) you have granted me what I intended; and now I add further, That for Church-peace to be Eph.4. 31, founded in Baptilm, or any other external Rite, not having to do with the Church as a Church, is poor Peace indeed: Churchpeace is founded in blood; and love to each other for Jefus fake; bearing with, and forbearing one another, in all things Circumstantial, that concern not Church-worship as fuch : And in my other I have proved that Baptism is not such, and therefore ought not to be urged to make rents and divisions a-

> mong Brethren. But you ask, Is my peace maintained in a way of disabedience? and conclude if it be,

you fear it is falle. pag. 24.

32. Phil. 2.1,

2,3.

A. If the first were true, you need not to doubt of the fecond; but it may be thought he hath little to fay in the Controverse, who is forced to stuff out his Papers, with fuch need.

less prattles as thefe.

. My fifth Argument is, That a failure in fuch a Circumftance as Water-baptifm, doth not un-Christian wis This you are compelled to grant, pag.25. And I conclude with your words, Persons ought to be Christians before, visible Christians; such as any Congregation in the Land may receive to Communion with themselves, because God hath shewed us that he hath received them. Receive him to the Glory of God: [To the Glory of God]

ter

où

ve

I

be

al

5 2

h-

ch

-1c

n-

ip

ed

ht

a-

A

7,

bt

th

is

t.

in

ot

to

ir

é-

e-

i-

d

to

is put in on purpose, to show what dishonour they bring to him, who despise to have Communion with such, whom they know do maintain Communion with God. I say again, How doth this Man, or that Church gloriste God, or count the Wisdom and Holiness of Heaven beyond them, when they refuse Communion with them, concerning whom yet they are convinced, that they have Communion with God.

But my Argument you have not denied; nor medled with the Conclusion at all; which is, That therefore, even because a failure here, doth not un-Christian us, doth not make us infincere; and I add, Doth not lay us open to any revealed judgment or displeasure of God; (if it doth, shew where) therefore it should not, it one be not to make us obnoxious to the displeasure of the Church of God.

But you fay, I rank, Goffel-Precepts, with Old-Testament abrogated Ceremonies, pag. 25.

Answ. You should have given your Reader my words, that he might have judged from my own mouth: I said then (speaking before of Christianity it self, pag. 94.) that thousands of thousands that could not Consent to Water, as we, are now with the innumerable company of Angels, and the Spirits of just men made perfect. What, was said of Eating, or the contrary, may as so this be said of Waterbaptism; Neither if I be baptized, and I the better? neither if I be not, am I the worse before Men; still meaning as Panel, Provided I walk

walk according to my Light with God; o. therwise 'tis falle. For if a man that seeth it to be his Dury, shall despisingly neglectit; or if he that hath not Faith about it, shall foolishly take it up: both thele, are for this the worfe : I mean, as to their own fense, being convicted in themselves, as transgressors. He therefore that doth it according to his Light, doth well; and he that doth it not, for want of Light, doth not ill; for he approveth his heart to be fincere with God, even by that his forbearance. And I rell you again, It is no where recorded, that this man as under any revealed threatning of God, for his not being baptized with Water, he not having Light therein, but is admitted through his Grace to as many Promises as you. If therefore he be not a partaker of that Circumstance, yet he is of that Liberty, and Mercy, by which you fland with God.

But that I practife Instituted Worship, upon the same account as Paul did Circumcision, and shaving, is too bold for you to presume to imagine. What? Because I will not suffer Water to carry away the Epistles from the Christians; and because I will not let Water-baptism be the Rule; the Door, the Bolt, the Bar, the Wall of Division between the Righteous, & the Righteous; must I therefore be judged to be a Man without Conscience to the Worship of Jesus Christ? The Lord deliver me from Superstitious, and Idolasrous thoughts about any the Ordinances of Christ, and of God. But my fish Argument

flandeth against you untouched; you have not denyed, much less confured the least syllable thereof.

You tell me my fixth Argument is, Edifica-

tion.

0.

it

t;

all

115

C-

s.

is

t,

P-

n

a-

ın

or

gh If

1

1-

-

ot

m

1-

10

e

0

2-

15

of

12

h

A. If it be, why is it not imbraced? But

my own words are thele;

I am for bolding Communion that; becaufe the Edification of Souls in the Fatth and bolines of the Gofpel to of greater concern than an agreement in ontward things; I fay, tis of greater concern with us, and of far more profit toour Brother, than our agreeing in, or contessing for Water-baptifm , Joh. 16: 13. 1 Cor. 14. 12. 2 Cor. 10. 8. Chap. 12. 19. Ephel. 4. 12. 1 Cor. 13. 1, 2. Chap. 8. 1. Now why did you not take this Argument in pieces, and answer those Scriptures, on which the strength thereof depends! But if to contest, and fall out about Water-baptism, be better than to edifie the House of God, produce the Texts, that we may be informed. You fay; Edification is the end of all Communion, but all things muft be done in Order, orderly, pag. 26.

Anfw. When you have proved that there is no fuch thing as an orderly edifying of the Church without Water-baptifm, precede, then it will be time enough to think you have

faid fomething.

You add; Edification as to Church-fellowfhip being a building up, doth suppose the being of a Church; but pray you show us a Church without Baptism. p.26.

A.

A. See here the spirit of these Men, who for the want of Water, baptism, have at once un-Churched all such Congregations of God in the World; but against this I have, and do urge, That Water baptism giveth neither being, nor well-being to a Church, neither is any part of that instituted Worship of God, that the Church, as such, should be sound in the Practice of. Therefore her Edification as a Church may, yea and ought to be attained unto without it.

But you lay, Shew us a New-Testament-

Church without Baptifm. pag. 26,

A. What fay you to the Church all a-long the Revelation quite through the Reign of Antichriff? Was that a New-Testament-

Church, or no?

Again, If Baptilin be without the Church, as a Church, it is hath nothing to do in the Constituting of a Church; if is be not the door of entrance into the Church, if it be no part of Church-worship as such; then, although all the Members of that Church were baptized, yet the Church is a Church without Water-baptilin. But all the Churches in the New-Testament were such: Therefore, &c.

Again, If Baptilm respect Believers, as particular Persons only; is it respects their own Conscience only; if it make aman no visible Believer to me, then it hath nothing to do with Church-membership: Because, that which respects my own Person only. My own Conscience only: that which is no Character

of iny visible Saintship to the Church, cannot be an Argument unto them to receive me into fellowship with themselves. But this is

true, Therefore, &cc.

You proceed, If by Edification, be meant the private increase of Grace, in one another, in the use of private means, as private Christians in meeting together; how doth the Principle you oppose hinder that? Endeavour to make men as holy as you can, that they may be sited for Church-fellowship, when God shall shew

them the orderly way to it. pag. 26.

Answ. What a many private things have we now brought out to publick view? Privare Christianis, private Means, and a private increase of Grace. But, Sir, Are none but those of your way the publick Christians? Or, ought none but them that are baptized to have the publick means of Grace ? Or, must their Graces be increased by none but private means? Was you awake now? Or, are you become to high in your own phantafies, that none have, or are to have but private means of Grace? And, are there no publick Christians, or publick Christian-Meetings, but them of your way? I did not think that all but Baptists, should only abide in holes.

But you find fault because I said, Edification is greater than contesting about Water-baptism. pag. 27.

A. If it be not, confute me; if it be, forbear to cavil. Water baptilin, and all God's Ordinances, are to be used to Edification;

D 2

not to beget heats and contentions among the Godly, wherefore Edification is best.

Object. I had thought that the Preaching, and opening Baptism, might have been reckoned

a part of our Edification.

Ansa. The act of Water-baptism hath not place in Church-worship, neither in whole nor in part; wherefore pressing it upon the Church is to no purpose at all.

Object. Why may you not as well fay that Edification is greater than breaking of Bread.

pag: 27.

Answ. So it is, else that should never have been Instituted to edific withal; that which serveth, is not greater than he that is served thereby. Baptism and the Lord's-Supper both, were made for us, not we for them; wherefore both were made for our Edistatition, but no one for our destruction.

But again, The Lord's-Supper, not Baptism, is for the Church, as a Church; therefore as we will maintain the Church's edifying, that must be maintained in it; yea, used oft, to shew the Lord's Death till he come,

I Cor. 11.22,26.

Besides, because it is a great part of Church-worship, as such, therefore it is pronounced blessed, the Lord did openly blessed before he gave it; year and we ought to blessed it also; (The Cup of blessing which we blessed not to say more, Therefore your reasoning from the one to the other will not hold.

Object. How comes contesting for Waterbaptism to be so much against you?

Aw w.

Anfw. First, because weak Brethren cannot bear it; whom yet we are commanded to receive but not to doubtful disputation; doubtful to them, therefore for their sakes, I must forbear it. Rom. 14. 1.

Secondly, Because I have not seen any good effect, but the contrary, where-ever such hot Spirits have gone before me: For where Envie and Strife is, there is Confusion (or Tumults) and every Evil-work.

Thirdly, Because by the Example of the Lord, and Paul, we must consider the present state of the Church, and not trouble them with what they cannot bear. Job. 16, 13,

I Cor. 3. 1, 2, 3.

I conclude then, Edification in the Church is to be preferred above what the Church asi a Church, hath nothing to do withal All things (dearly beloved) are for our Edifying. I Cor. 14. 5. 6 12, 26, 2 Car. 12,10. Epq.4.26. Rem. 15.2. 1 Cor. 14. 3. 2 Corp 10. 8. 6 13. 10. Rom. 14. 19. Before: D wind up this Argument, I prefent you with feveral Instances, shewing that some of the brecah of God's Precepts have been both with, when they come in competition with Edification. As First, That of Aaron, who let the Offering for Sin be burnt, that thould have indeed been earen, Levit, 10. Yer because he could not do it to his Edification. Mofes was content. But the Law was thereby transgreffed, Chap. 6.26. The Prieft that offereth it for Sin, shall eat it.

D 3

continued, forbearing of God's Worship, but a suppending of it for a season.

when Persons can be baptized to their Edifi-

cation, they have the liberty.

But secondly, This was not a bare suspension, but a flat transgression of the Law: Yo should indeed have eaten it: Yet Moses was content. Levit. 10.16. — 20.

But say you, Perhaps it was suspended upon just and legal grounds, though not expressed.

Anfw. The express Rule was against it; To should indeed (said Moses) have raten it in the Holy place, as I commanded, vers. 18. But good Sir, are you now for unwritten veriates a for legal grounds, though not expressed? I will not drive you further, here is Rome chough.

As for Eldad and Medad, it dannor be denyed, but that their edifying of the People, was preferred before their conforming to every

Circumstance, Numb. 11.16 .- 26.

You add, That Paul for a feeming low,

thing did withftand Peter.

Str, If you make but a feeming low thing of Diffembling, and teaching others to do Gal. 2. 11, (especially where the Doctrine of Justification on is endangered) I cannot expect much good Conscience from you.

As for your Answer to the case of Heze-

kiab, it is faulty in two respects :

I. For that you make the Passeover a Type of the Lord's Supper, when it was only a

Type

Type of the Body and Blood of the Lord: For even Christ our Passeoven is Sacrafice for us. I Cor. 5. 7.

a. In that you make it an Example to you to admit Persons unprepared to the Lord's-Supper. Pag-29.

to the Church unprepared for the Lord's Supper y yea, unprepared for that, with other for lemn Appointments & For & you, word it, page 29. O what an Engine have you made of Water-baptism.

Thus, gentle Reader, while this Author teareth usin pieces for not making Beprifin. the orderly Rule for receiving the Godly, and Confcientions into Communion , he cartied ceive Persons if Baptized, though unprepared for the Supper, and other folema Appointments? I would have thee confult the place, and fee if it counteranceth fuch an act (That) a man who pleaderhafor Water-happin above the Peace and Edification of the Churcha ougheso be received (although unprepared) into the Church to the Lord's-Supper and on ther folemn Appointments efpecially confiders ing the Nature of right Church Constitutions and the leverity of God rowards those ther camestinprepared to his Table of olders Cores TI. 18, 20, 30. A Riddle indeed, That the Lord should, without a word, so severely command, that all which want Light in Babtifm, be excluded Church-priviledges ; and, vet against his Word, admit of Persons uncompacts . And this don sents Therefine

Erbor

Differencerabum Water Baytifm,

prepared, to the Lord's Table, and other fo-

lemm Appointments of the 12 de 12 de

But good Sir, why fo fhort-winded? why could not you make the fame work with the other Scriptures, as you did with thele? I must leave them upon you unanswered and flanding by my Argument conclude. That if Laws and Ordinances of old have been broken, and the breach of them born with (when yet the Observation of outward things was more Prictly Commanded than now) if the Profit and Edification of the Church come in competition : how much more, may not we have Communion, Church-Communion, when no Law of God is transgreffed thereby, MAnd note, That all this while I plead not (as you) for Persons unprepared. bur godly, and fuch as walk with God.

for Communion with the Godly, though unbaptized Persons: Which you say is Love.

pag. 29.

My Argument is this; Therefore I am for Communion thus; because Love, which above all things we are Commanded to put on, is as much more worth than to break about Baptism. And let the Reader note, That of this Argument you deny not so much as one syllable, but run to another story; but I will sollowed you.

I add further, That Love is more discovered when we receive for the sake of Christ, than when we refuse his Children for want of Water: And tell you again, That this

Exhor-

Exhortation to Love is grounded not upon Baptilin, but the curting on of the new Creature, which liath wallowed up all diffinctions. Col. 359.—14. Yea, there are ten Arguments in this one, which you have not fo much as touched; but thus object, That makes affection the Rule of his malking, rather than judgment, it is no wonder if he you out of the way.

Answ. Love to them we are persuaded that God hath received, is Love that is guided by Judgment; and to receive them that are such, because God hath bidden us (Rom. 14.) is Judgment guided by Rule. My Argument therefore bath forestalled all your note, and standeth still on its legs against your selections.

As to the Duries of Piery, and Charity you boaft of, pag. 30, found not a Trumper, tell not your left hand of it; we are talking now of Communion of Saints . Church-Communion, and I plead that to Love, and hold together as fuch, is better than to break in pieces for want of Water-baptiling My Reason is because we are exhorted in all thingsee but on Love ; the Love of Churche Communion : contrariwife youroppofe, A-1 bove all things put on Water . For the beit Saine under bleaven that hath not that, with him you refute Communion. Thus you make! Baptilin, though no Church Ordinance, a bar to their our the Godly, and a Trap door ron let the unprepared into Churches, to the s Lord's-Supper, and other folemn Appoint-

But you object, Must our Love to the unibaptized indulge them in an all of dishedinance? Cannot we love their Persons, Ports, Graces, but we must love their Sine? pag. 30-

Anjw. We plead not for indulging. But are there not with you, even with you fins against the Lord your God? 2 Chron. 28. 20. But why can you indulge the Baptists in many acts of disobedience? For to come unprepared into the Church, is an act of disobedience: To come unprepared to the Supper is an act of disobedience; and to come to allo to other solemn Appointments, are acts of disobedience.

But for these things, you lay, you do not east, nor keep any out of the Church.

Anfw. But what acts of disobedience do we indulge them in ?

In the Sin of Infant bapti [m. 100 miles

Commanded to bear with the Infirmities of each other, suffer it; it being indeed in our eyes such; but in theirs they say a duty, till God shall otherwise perswade them. It you be without infirmity, do you first throw a stouthem them: They keep their Faith in that to themselves, and trouble not their Brethren therewith: we believe that God hath received them; they do not want to us a proof of their Souship with God; neither hath he made Water a Wall of Division between us, and therefore we do receive them.

Obj. I sake it to be the bigbest att of friendship to be fairbful to these Professors, and to sell them

E

t

I

fi

ti

0

le

p

h

2

E

they mant this one thing in Goffel orders which

Anfm. If it be the highest piece of Friendthip, to preach Water-baptism to unbaptized Believers, the lowest act thereof must needs be very low, But contratiwife, I count it for far off from being any act of Friendship, to press Baptism in our Notion on those that cannot bear it : that it is a great abuse of the Peace of my Brother, the Law of Love the Law of Christ, or the Society of the Faithful... Love suffereth long, and is kind, is not eafily provoked: Let us therefore follow after the things that make for Peace, and things wherewith one may edifie another : Let every one of us please his Neighbour, for his good to Edification . Bear you one anothers burdens, and so fulfil the Law of Chrift. 1 Cor. 13. Rom. 34. 19. Chap. 15. 2. Gal. 6. 2.

But fay you, I doubt when this xomes to be weighed in God's ballance, it will be found no les than flattery, for which you will be re-

That it they's it felt in cits, gad, bevert

111

dis

135

ut

ns

09

ny

Cert

e-

er

14

of

ot:

4:

0

g

of

ei.

1

Ú

1

.

10

GS,

i

13

Anja. It feems you do but doubt it, wherefore the Principle from which you doubt it,
of that methinks you should not be certain;
but this is of little weight to me; for he that
will presume to appropriate the Epitles to
himself and fellows for the sake of Bap ism,
and that will condemn all the Churches of
Christ in the Land for want of Baptism and
that will account his Brother as prophane
Esan (pag. 20.) and rejected, as Idolatrous
Ephraim

Ephraim (pag. 32.) because he wanteth his way of Water-baptism; he acts out of his wonted way of rigidness, when he doth but doubt, and not affirm his Brother to be a flatterer. I leave therefore this your Doubt to be resolved at the Day of Judgment, and in the mean time trample upon your harsh, and unchristian surmises.

As to our Love to Christians in other cases, I hope we shall also endeavour to sollow the Law of the Lord; but because it respects not the matter in hand, it concerns us not now to

treat thereof.

My Argument treateth of Church-Communion in the profecution of which I prove,

1. That Love is grounded upon the new

Creature, Col. 3.9, &c.

2. Upon our fellowship with the Father

and Son, 1 70h. 1. 2,3.

3. That with respect to this, it is the fulfilling of the Royal Law, Jam. 4. 11.

4. That it shews it self in acts of sorbearing, rather than in publishing some Truths: Communicating only what is profitable, forbearing to publishing what cannot be born, I Cor. 3. 1, 2. Alls 20. 18, 19, 20. Joh. 16. 17.

5. I shew further, That to have fellowship for, to make that the ground of, or to receive one another chiefly upon the account of an outward Circumstance; to make Baptism the including, and excluding Charge; the

Bounds,

B

'n

it

1

(

9

b

1

•

(

1

1

t

1

his

his

but

be a

ubt

and

rth,

fes,

the

not

to

m-

4

W

ıcr

he

1

r-

S :

-

n,

b.

ip:

.

of

n

e

s,

Bounds, Bar, & Rule of Communion, when by the Word of the everlasting, Testament, there is no Word for it; (to speak charitably) if it be not for want of Love, it is for want of light in the Mysteries of the Kingdom of Christ. Strange! Take two Christians equal in all Points but this; nay, let one go beyond the other in Grace and Goodness, as far as a Man is beyond a Babe, yet Water shall turn the Scale, shall open the Door of Communion to the less; and command the other to fland back : Yet is no proof to the Church of this Babes Faith and Hope, hath nothing to do with his entering into fellowthip, is no part of the Worship of the Church. These things should have been answered, seeing you will take upon you fo roundly to condemn our practice.

You come now to my eighth Argument; which you do not only render falfly, but by lo doing abuse your Reader. I said not that the Church of Corinth did shut each other out of Communion; but, For God's People to divide into Parties, or to shut each other from Church-Communion, though for greater Points, and upon higher Precences, than that of Water-baptism, hath heretofore been counted carnal, and the actors therein Babish-Christians; and then bring in the Factions, that was in the Church at Corintb. what I May not the evil of denying Church-Communion now, if proved naught by a less crime in the Church at Corimb, be counted Carnal and Babish; but the breach of

Com-

Communion must be charged upon them at

That my Argument is good you grant, 1993, 32. Saying, The Divisions at the Church as Corinels were about the highest Fundamental Principles, for which they are often called carnel; yet you cavil at it. But if they were to be blamed for dividing, though for the highest Points: Are not you much more for condemning your Brethren to perpenual banishment from Church-Communion, though sound in all the great Points of the Gospel, and right in all Church-Ordinances also, because for want of Light they sail only in the Point of Baptism?

As to your quibble about Paul and Apollo, whether they, or others, were the Persons (though I am satisfied you are out) yet it weakeneth not my Argument; For if they were blame-worthy for dividing, though about the highest Fundamental Principles (as you say) how ought you to blush for carrying it as you do to Persons, perhaps, more godly than our selves, because they jump not

with you in a Circumstance?

That the divitions at Corinb were helped on by the abuse of Baptism, to me is evident, from Paul's so off suggesting it: Were you baptized in the Name of Paul? I thank God I baptized none of you, lest any should say, I bad baptized in my own Name.

designed this, or that they who baptized them designed this, or that Baptism in it self effected it; nor yet (though our Author seigns it)

that

83

22

1

16

that they were well of them haptized by their Factions Leaders, pag. 55. But that they had their Factions Leaders, is evident; and that these Leaders made use of the Names of Paul, Apole, and Christ, is as evident; for by these Names they were beguiled by the help of abused Baptism.

But lay you, Wherein lies the force of this man's Argument against Baptism as to its place,

morth, and continuance ?

I answer; I have no Argument against its place, worth, or continuance, although thus you seek to scandalize me. But this kind of fineerity of yours, will never make me one of

your Disciples.

ant.

irch

ben-

alled

vere

the

for

ba.

ugh

and

aufe

oint

ollo.

fons

et it

hey

1 2-

(as

TY-

ore

not

ped

ent,

701

God

em

Eted

it)

hat

Have not I told you even in this Argument,
That I feak not at I do, to per wade or teach men
to break the least of God's Commandments; but
that my Brethren of the Baptized-way may not
bold too much THEREUPON, may not make it
an Essential of the Gospel, nor yet of the Communion of Saints. Yet he seigns that I urge two Arguments against it, p. 36. & 38. But Reader,
thou may it know I have no such reason in my
Book, Besides, I should be a Fool indeed, were
I against it, should I make use of such weak
Arguments. My words then are these;

I thank God (laid Paul) that I baptized none of you but Crispus, &c. Not but that then it was an Odinance, but they abused it in making Parties thereby, as they abused also Paul, and Cephas. Besides (laid he) I know not whether I baptized any other. By this negligent relating who were baptized by him; be

(hewes b

showeth that he made no fact matter thereof, at fome in these dayer do. Nay, that he made no matter at all thereof with respect to a Church-Communion. For if he did not heed who himfelf had haptized; much less did he beed who were haptized by others? But if Baptism had been the initiating-Ordinance, (and I now add) Essential to Church-Communion; then no doubt he had made more Conscience of it, than

.

6

H

t

A

B

10

li

th

li

C

10

-

16

#

01

to

Bp

10

41

th

a

thus lightly to pass it by.

I addinisher, where he saith, He was not sent to boptize; that he spake with an holy Indignation against throse that had abused that Ordinance. Baptism is an Holy Ordinance, but when Satan abuseth it, and wrencheth it out of its place, making that which is Ordained of God, for the Ediscation of Believers, the only Wempon to break in pieces the Love, Unity, and Contord of the Saints; than its Paul

I Cor. 3.5, Unity, and Concord of the Saints; than in Paul 6.7: faid of himself, and follows, What is Baptism? Neither in Baptism any thing? This is no new

Is. 11, Detrine, for God by the mouth of the Prophet 12,13, 14, of old, cryed out against bu own Appointments, when abused by his own People, because they

mben abused by bus own People, because they ased them for strife, and debate, and to smite that sit of wickedness. But to sorbear, to take notice thus of these things, my Argu-

anent stands firm against you: For if they as Corinch were blame-worthy for dividing, though their divisions were (if you fay true) about the highest Fundamentals, you ought to be assumed, thus to bamish your Brethred from

the Priviledges of Church-Communion for ever, for the mant of so low a thing as Water, baptism. Bapiffer. I call it not low, with respect to Gods appointment, though so, it is far from the highest place, but in comparison of those Fundamentals, about which, you say, the Circumbians made their divisions.

You come next to my ninth Afgument, and ferve it as Hannn ferved David's Servants, 2 Same 10, 4, you have ent off one half of its Beard, and its Garments to its Buttocks, thinking to fend it home with fhame. You

face it thus state and ager to shall add

rde

6-

71-

bo

ad

d)

20

an

ot

ly

at

ce,

et ed

he

10,

ul

1

10

et

5,

ey

10

o

-

at

to

111

r,

-

7.

That by denying communion with unbaptized Believers, you take from them their Privil

ledges to which they are born, pag. 40.

Answ. Have I such an Argument in all my little Book? Are not my words verbasimi these? If we shall reject visible Saints by calling, Saints that have communion with God; that have received the Law at the hand of Christ; that are of an holy conversation among men, they desiring to have communion with us; as much as in its lyeth, we take from them their very priviledges, and the blessings to which they were born of God.

This is mine Argument : now confute it.

Paul faith, (1 Cor. i. 1, 2, 6, 3, 22.) not only to the Gathered Church at Corinth, but to all scattered Saints, that in every place call upon the Name of the Lord, that fesus Christ withirs; that Paul, and Apollo, and Cephas, and the World, and all things else was theirs.

But you answer. We take from them nothing, but we keep them from a disorderly prasice of Gospel-Ordinances, we offer them

E

Differences about Water-Baptism, their priviledges, in the way of Gospel-Order.

Answ. Where have you one word of God, that forbiddeth a person, so qualified, as is fignified in mine Argument, the best Communion of Saints for want of Water? There is not a syllable for this in all the Book of God. So then, you in this your plausible defence, do make your Scriptureless Light, which in very deed is darkness, (1sa. 8. 20, 21.) the Rule of your Brothers Faith; and how well you will come off for this in the Day of God, you might, were you not wedded to your wordless Opinion, soon begin to conceive.

1 know your Reply, New-Testament Saints

were all baptized first.

Anim Suppole it granted; Were they baptized, that thereby they might be qualified for their right to communion of Saints, fo that without their submitting to Water, they were to be denved the other ? Further, Suppose I should grant this groundless Notion, Were not the Jews in Old Testament times to enter the Church by Circumcifion ? For that, though Water is not, was the very entering-Ordinance. Besides, as I said besore, there was a full forbidding all that were not circumeifed from entering into fellowship, with a threatning to cut them off from the Church if they entered in without it : Yet more than his hundred thousand entred that Church without it. But how now, if fuch an one as you had then flood up and objected, Sir Mofes

Gen. 17. Exod. 12.

67

Moses, What is the reason that you transgress the Order of God, to receive Members without Circumcision? Is not that the very entring-Ordinance? Are not you commanded to keep out of the Church all that are not circumcised? Yea, and for all those that you thus received, are you not commanded to cast them out again, to cut them off from among this people? (Gen. 17, 13, 14. Exod. 12. 24, 25, 26.)

od.

5. 18

m-

cre

of

ble

ht,

20,

ind

the

ed-

to

nts

ap-

for

nat

cre

e I

ere

ter

at,

ng-

ere

m.

1 4

rch

20

ch

25

Sir

es,

I fay, Would not this man have had a far better Argument to have refifed Moses, than you in your wordless Notion have to thut out men from the Church, more holy than many of our selves? But do you think that Moses and Joshua, and all the Elders of Israel, would have thanked this Fellow, or have concluded that he spake on God's behalf? Or, that they should then, for the sake of a better than what you call Order, have set to the work that you would be doing, even to break the Church in pieces for this?

But say you, If any will find or force another way into the Sheep-fold, than by the footfleps of the Flock, we have no such custom nor the Churches of God, pag. 41.

Answ. What was done of old, I have shewed you, that Christ, not Baptism, is the way to the Sheep-fold, is apparent: and that the person, in mine Argument, is intituled to all these, to wit, Christ, Grace, and all the things of the Kingdom of Christ in the Church, is, upon the Scriptures urged, as evident.

But

I Cor. II.

29.

But you add, That according to mine old confidence, I affirm, That drink ye all of thu, is inteled to Palth, not Bapiefor. A thing, fay you, foon faid, but yet never proved.

Aniw. t. That it is intailed to Faith, muft be confessed of all hands. 2. That it is the priviledge of him that discerneth the Lord's Body, and that no man is to deny him it, is also by the Text as evident, (and so let him eat) because he is worthy. Wherefore he, and he only that difterneth the Lord's Body, he is the worthy Receiver, the worthy Receiver in God's estimation; but that none dilcern the Lord's Body but the Baprized, is both

fond and ridiculous once to furmife.

Wherefore to exclude Christians, and to debar them their Heaven-born Priviledges, for want of that which yet God never made the Wall of Division betwixt us: This looks too like a Spirit of Perfection, (Fob 19. 25, 26, 27, 28, 60.) and carrierh in it thole eighteen absurdicies which you have so hotly cryed out against. And I do ftill add, Is it not that which greatly prevailed with God to bring down those fudgments, which at present we (the People of God) groan under, I will dare to fay,

tirit Copy, but for a, the Printer put in the.

* And fo it it was * A cause thereof ! Yea, I will yet prowas in my ceed; I fear, I strongly fear, that the Rod of God is not yer to be taken from us; for what more provoking fin among Christians, than to deny one another their Rights and Priviledges to which they are born of God? and then to Father these their doings upon God, when yet he hath not commanded ir, neither in the New Testament nor the Old? But

But, I may not lightly pale this by, for because I have gathered eighteen abluidities from this abuse of God's Ordinances, or from the fin of binding the Brethren to observe Order, me founded on the Command of God; (and I am fure you have none to thut out men asigood, as holy, and as lound in Faith as our felves, from Communion), Therefore you call my Conclusion deviliby (188143. Lop-ful of ignorance, and prejudice, (P. 41. and me, one of Machiavels Scholars, (p.42.) alfo proud, presumptious, impeaching the

P

'5

is

n

.

19.

6-

h

0

T

c

0

n đ

şt m

7,

)of

ıt

0

23

0

n

10

1E

Judgment of God w has a sort way Proposition on, that men, confiderate, can be offended at? Thefe arony words But to exclude Chriftans from Charob-Communion, and so debar them their Heavenbarn Pripiledges, for the want of that which jet God never made a wall of division batween us: This looks too like a Spirit of Perfecurion: This respectet b more the form, than the Spirit and power of Godlines, &cc. Shall ladd Is it not that which greatly prevailed to bring down thate Judgments which at prefent we feel and groan under ? I will dare to fay, it was a raufe thereof. (p. 116, 117.) A, was in my Copy, instead whereof the Printer put in the ; for this, although I Tpeak only the truth; I will not beg of you belief; bendes, the Bookfeller defired me, because of the Printers halte, to leave the last Theet to be over-looked by him, which was the cause it was not among the Errata's,

But, I fay, wherein is the Proposition often-Wan H

offensive? Is it not a wicked thing to make bars to Communion, where God hath made none? Is it not a wickedness, to make that a Wall of Division betwirt us, which God never commanded to be so. If it be not, justific your practice; if it be, take shame, Besides, the Proposition is universal, why then should you be the chief intended? But you have in this, done like to the Lawyers of old, who when Christ reproved the Pharises of wickedness before them, said, Master, thus saying thou reproaches was also, Luker 1.45.

But you feign, and would also that the World should believe, that the Eighteen Absurdities which naturally flow from the Proposition, I make to be the Effects of Baptism, laying to me, None but your felf could find an innocent Truth big with so many monstrous Ab-

furdities. pag. 42.

I Answer; This is but speaking wickedly for God, or rather to justifie your wordless Practice. I say not that Baptism hath any Absurdity in it, though your abusing it, hath them all, and many more, while you make it, without warrant from the Word, as the flaming Sword, to keep the Brotherhood out of Communion, because they after your manner cannot consent thereto.

And let no man be offended, for that I suggest that Baptism may be abused to the breeding such monstrous Absurdices, for greater Truths than that have been as much abused. What say you to, This is my Body? To instance no more, although I could instance

many,

many, are not they the words of our Lord? are not they part of the Scriptures of Truth? and yet behold, even with those words, the Devil by abusing them, made an Engine to let out the heart-blood of thousands. Baptism also may be abused, and is, when more is laid upon it by us, than is Commanded by God. And that you do so, is manifest by what I have said already, and shall yet say to your Fourteen Arguments.

My last Argument, you fay is this;

The World may wonder at your carriage to those Unbaptized Persons, in keeping them out

of Communion?

ke

de

1

bo

x,

e,

ıy

ut

of

es

W

ıe

)_

1,

i

Ís

h

e

e

t

Anf. You will yet fet up your own words, and then fight against them: but my words are these, What greater contempt can be thrown upon the Saints, than for their Brethren to cut them off from, or to debar them Church-Communion!

And now I add, Is not this to deliver them to the Devil, I Cor. 5. or to put them to shame before all that see your acts? There is but one thing can hinder this, and that is, by-standers see, that these your Brethren, that you thus abuse, are as holy men as our selves. Do you more to the open Prophane, yea, to all Wizards and Witches in the Land? For all you can do to them (I speak now as to Church-acts) is no other than debar them the Communion of Saints.

And now I say again, The World way well wonder, when they see you deny holy-men of God that liberty of the Communion of

E 4

Saiau

Saints which you Monopolize to your felves. And though they do not understand the grounds of Profession, or Communion; yet they can both see, and say, these Holymen of God, in all visible acts of Holmes, are not one inch behind you. Yea, I will put it to your selves. If those many, yea very many, who thus severely (but with how little ground, is seen by men of God) you deny Communion with, are not of as good, as holy, as unblameable in life, and as sound, if not sounder in the Faith than many among our selves: Here only they make the stop, they cannot, without Light, be driven into Water-baptism, I mean after our Notion of it: but what if they were, 'twould' be little sigh to me that they were sincere with God.

To conclude this; when you have proved that Water-baptism (which you your self flave said is not a Church-Ordinance, p. 40.) is Estential to Church-Communion, and that the Church may, by the Word of God, bolt, bar, and for ever shut out those, far better than our selves, that have not, according to our Notion, been baptized with Water; then 'cwill be time enough, to talk of ground for so doing. In the mean time I must take leave to tell you, There is not in all the Bible one syllable for such a Practice, wherefore your great cry about your Order is wordless, and therefore saithless, and is a meer Humana Invention.

the Nature of Faith, the Fird of the Gospel, or of the Realon of Instituted Worship

hould fo much as once imagine.

reaments and shall in-

Our first Argument to prove it lawful to reject the Unibaprised Saints is, Browse the great Commission of Christ, March. 28. from which all Persons bare their Amborry so which the Briston, (if any Authority at all) duth cledity direct the conting. By that Commission, Ministers are first to Discrete, and their world varieties them to their visits and their commanded them, as to other Ordinates of warship. If Ministers have no other Authority to teach them other parts of Cospel-worship, sefore they believe and are baptized. It may be strongly supposed, they are not to admit them to other Ordinances before they have pussed to the fringly supposed, they are not to admit them to other Ordinances before they have pussed to be first population in the Commission.

Anjour. That the Ministers are to Disciple and Baptize, is granted. But that they are prohibited (by the Commission, Math. 28.) to Teach the Disciples other parts of Gospel-Worship, that have not Light in Baptism, remains for you to prove. Shall I add, This Position is so absurd and void of truth, that none that have ever read the Love of Christ.

the Name of Faith, the End of the Gospel, or of the Reason of Instituted Worthip (which is Edification) with understanding,

should so much as once imagine.

But where are they here forbidden to teach them other Truths, before they be baptized ? This Text on fairly denieth to the unbaptized Believer, Heaven, and Glory, Nay our Author in the midft of all his flutter about this 28th of Matthew, dare venture to gather no more therefrom, but that it may be frongly [upposed. Behold therefore, gentle Reader, the ground on which these Brethren lay the stress of their separation from their Fellows, is nothing elfe but a supposition, without warrant, skrewed out of this bleffed Word of God, Strongly Supposed! but may it not be as strongly supposed, that the Presence and Bleffing of the Lord Jefus, with his Ministers, is laid upon the same ground also? for thus he concludes the Text, And lo, I am with you alwayes, even to the end of the World. But would, I fay, any man from these words. conclude, That Christ Jesus hath here promiled his Presence only to them that after discipling, baptize those that are so made; and that they that do not baptize, shall neither bave bis Prefence, nor bis Bleffing ? I fay again, Should any so conclude hence, would not all Experience prove him void of Truth? The words therefore must be left, by you, as you found them, they favour not at all your groundles supposition.

9414

To conclude, these words have not laid Baptism in the way to debar the Saint from Fellowship of his Brethren, no more than to hinder his inheritance in Life and Glory. Mark reads it thus; He that believesh and is baptized, shall be saved; but he that believesh not, shall be damned, Mark 16. 16. letting Baptism, which he mentioned in the promise, fall, when he came at the threatning.

h

3

18

r

e

-

t

God also doth thus with respect to his Worship in the Church, he commands all and every whit of his will to be done, but beareth with our coming short in this, and that, and another Duty. But let's go on.

Your fecond Argument, is:

That the Order of Christ's Commission, as well at the matter therein contained to be obferwed, may easily be concluded, from God's severity towards them that fought him not according to due order; I Chron. 19. 12. God fo exact with his People then, that all things to a Pin must be according to the Pattern in the Mount, Heb, 7, 16. & 9. 11. whofe Worfbip then comparatively, to the Gofpel, was but after the Law of a Carnal Commandment; and can it be supposed be should be so indifferent now to leave men to their own liberty, to time and place his Appointments, contrary to what be bath given in express Rule, for in bis Word a before ? Ezek. 44.7,9,10. It was the Prieft's Sin formerly to bring the uncircumcifed in bears and flesh into bis boufe.

Anfin. That there is no such Order in that

Commillion as you feign, I have proved. As for your far-fetch'd Inftance (I Chroniss.) ris quite befides your purpole. The express Word was, That the Prieft, not a Cart, Should bear the Ark of God . Allo they were nor to touch it, and yet Voca did, Exed. 25.14. I Chron. 19. 12, 13, 14, 19. Wumb. 4. 15. i Chron. 13. Now, if you can make that 28th of Matthew fay, Receive none that are not baptized firft; or that Christ would have them of his, that are not yet baptized, kept ignorant of all other Truths that refeet Church-Communion; then you fay something, else you do but raife a mist before the simple Reader : but who fo lifteth, may hang on your fleeve.

As for the Pins and Tacks of the Tabernacle, they were exprelly commanded; and
when you have proved by the Word of God,
That you ought to flut Saints out of your
Communion for want of Baptilin, then you
may begin more justly to make your Parallel.
How fiely you have urged Exek 44 to infinuate that unbaptized Believers are like the
uncircumcifed in heart and flesh, I leave it to
all Gospel-Novices to consider.

Your third Argument, is,

The practice of the first Gospel-Ministers,
with them that first trusted in Christ, discovers the truth of what I affert. Certainly, they
that lived at the spring-head, or fountain of
Truth, and had the Law from Christ's own
mouth, knew the meaning of his Commission
better

bester than we could their conflant gractice is conforming to that Commission, all along the Acts of the Apostles, discovers that they never arrived to such a latitude as most plead for now a dayes. They that gladly received the Word were baptized, and they (yea they only) were received into the Church.

t

Answ. How well you have proved what you have afferted, is manifest by my Answer to the two former Arguments. I adde, That the Ministers, and Servants of Jesus Christ in the first Churches (for that you are to prove) were Commanded to forbear to Preach other Truths to the Unbaptized Believers; or that they were to keep them out of the Church: or that the Apostles, and first Fathers, have given you to understand by their Example, that you ought to keep as good out of Churches as your felves, bath not yet been shewed by the Authority of the Word. The second of the Acts proveth not, That the three thousand were necefficated to be baptized in order to their Fellowship with the Church, neither doth it fay THEY, year they only, were received into the Church. But suppose all this, as much was done at the first Institution of Circumcision, &cc. yet afterwards thousands were received without it.

Your fourth Argument is,
None of the Scripture-Saints ever attempted

this Church-priviledge without Baptifm, (if they did, let it be shewn). The Eunuch first desired defined Baptism before any thing elfq; Paul was full baptized before he did effay to joyn with the Church. Our Lord Christ, the great Example of the New Testament, entred not upon his publick Ministry, much less any other Gospel-Ordinance of Worship, till he was Baptized.

Anfw. That none of the Scripture-Saints (if there be any unscripture ones) so much as attempted this Church-priviledge first, remains for you to prove. But suppose they were all Baptized, because they had light therein, what then? Doth this prove that Baptism is effential to Church-Communion? Or, that Christ commanded in the 28th of Matthew, or gave his Ministers by that authority, not to make known to Believers other parts of Gospel-Worship, if they shall want light in Baptism? The Eunuch, Paul, and our bleffed Lord Jesus, did none of them, by their Baptism, fet themselves to us Examples how to enter into Church-Communion, what Church was the Eunuch Baptized into, or made a Member of; but where is it faid, that the unbaptized Believer , how excellent foever in Faith and Holinefs, muft, for want of Water-baptism, be shut out from the communion of Saints, or be debarred the Priviledge of his Fathers House? This you are to prove.

Your fifth Argument is,

If Christ himself was made manifest to be the SENT of God by Baptism, as appears Mark 1.9, 10. Then why may not Baptism, as the first Fruits of Faith, and the first step of GospelGofpel-Obedience, on to Inflitured Worship, be a manifesting, discovering Ordinance upon others

who the follow Chriff's fleps, and harton

h

t

f

Aufat. That Jelus Christ was manifested as the SENT of God by Baptism, or that Baptilm is the first Fruit of Faith, and the first step of Golpel-Obedience, as to instituted Worthip, is both without proof and truth; the Text faith nos, he was manifest to be the fent of God by Baptism; nay it saith nor, that by that he was manifest to others to be any thing thereby : you have therefore but wronged the Text, to prove your wordless Practice by. Yea, John himself, though he knew him before he was baptized, to be a Man of God, (for, faith he, I have need to be Bapti- Marg. 14) zed of thee, and comest thou to me) and knew bim after to be the SENT of God; yet not Joh 1. 30, in, or by, but after he was Baptized, to wit, 31,32,333 by the descending of the Holy Ghoft, after 34. he was come out of the Water, as he mas in Prayer, for the Heavens were opened to John, and he faw, and bare Record, because he faw the Spirit descend from Heaven, and a bide upon Jesus, after his Baptism, a be was in Prayer, Mat. 3. 13, 14, 15, 16. Luk. 2.21, 22. Thus we find him made known before, and after, but not at all by Baptism, to be the SENT of God.

And that Baptism is the first fruits of Faith, or that Faith ought to be tyed to take its first step in Water-baptism, in the instituted Worthip of God, (this you must prove) is not found expressed within the whole Bible.

Fairb

Differentes about Wester Bestifin,

Hith afterwential de ita frança le Dod at l'Alle in junor syett or abound to any optiment Circumstance; on bellowth he may and a motifica believeth he may and; either do this or that and ro entire the door of the sent and and boy out that the door is, if a mile and boy out that the door is, if a mile

if Baprifus be in any finde any part of the foundations of a Charely at relorder; Elebid: x, x, transfi have place hir our no where to all are thought a perfect they are those things called fust Principles, if was fust to be betieved, and practifed to be principles, if they remained by the teamest the A.B. C. of a Christian, and the beginning of Christianity, With for Babes, if its be no matter whether Baprifus be practifed to not the normal partifusion of the normal best for the prisms thereintended, let them show many Baprifus thereintended, let them show me how many Baprifus thereintended than a prisms a Foundation any other way, but in respect of order, and it is beder intended for that are not hing.

Appen Baptism is in no tense the Foundation of a Church. I find no foundation of a Church, but Jesus Christ bimself, Mat. 16.18. I Cdr. 3.11. Yea, the Foundation mentioned, Heb. 6.12. is nothing else but this very Christ. For he is the Foundation, not only of the Church, but of all that good that at any time is found in her. He is the Foundation OF our Repentance, and OF our Faith towards God, vers. 1, 2. Further, Baptisms are not here mentioned, with respect to the Act in Water, but of the Doctrine, that is, the fignification thereof. The Doctrine of Baptisms.

Baptifer: And observe, neither Faith, not Represented, nor Baptifers, are called here Foundations: Another thing, for a Foundation, it here by the Holy Ghost intended, even a Foundation for them all; a Foundation OF Faith, OF Repentance, OF the Doctrine of Baptisms, OF the Resurrection of the Dead, and OF eternal Judgment. And this Foundation is Jesus Christ himself, and these are the first Principles, the Milk, the A. B. C. and the beginning of Christian Religion in the World.

I dare not say, No matter whether Water-Baptises be practised or no. But it is not a stone in the Foundation of a Church, no not respecting order; it is not, to another, a sign of my Sonship with God; it is not the dorinto Fellowship with the Saints, it is no Church Ordinance, as you, your self have testified, pag. 40. So then as to Church-work, it hath

no place at all therein.

Your leventh Argument is,

If Paul knew the Galatians ONET upon the account of Charity, NO other wayes to be the Sons of God by Faith; but by this part of their Obedience, as he seems to import, then the same way we judge of the truth of mens profession of Faith, when it shows it self by this self-same Obedience, Gal. 3. 25, 27. Baptism being an Obligation to all following Duties.

Anfil. This your Argument, being builded upon no more than a SEEMING Import,

and having been above ten times overthrown already , I might leave full with you, till your feeming Import is come to a real one, and both to a greater perswasion upon your own Conscience. But verily Sir, you grofly abuse. your Reader; Must Imports, yea, must feeming Imports now stand for Arguments, thereby to maintain your confident leparation from your Brethren ? Yea, must such things as thele, be the Balis on which you build thole heavy Cenfures and Condemnations you raife against your Brethren, that cannot comply with you, because you want the word? A feeming Import, But are thefe words of Faith, or do the Scriptures only help you to feeming Imports, and me-hap-foes for your practice? No, nor yet to them neither, for I dare boldly affirm it, and demand, if you can, to prove, that there is so much as a feeming IMPORT in all the Word of God, that countenanceth your flutting men, better than our selves, from the Things and Priviledges of out Fathers House.

That to the Gelatians, faith not, that Paul knew them to be the Sons of God by Faith, NO other way, but by THIS part of their Obedience; but puts them upon concluding themafelves the Sons of God, if they were baptized into the Lord Jesus, which could not (ordinarily) be known but unto themselves alone; because, being thus baptized, respecteth a special Act of Faith, which onely God, and him that hath, and acteth it, can be privy to. It is one thing for him that administreth, to Baptize

Avay fond man, von do

Baptize in the Name of Idustand another thing for him that is the Subject , by that co be baptized INTO Jeins Christie Baptizing INTO Christ, is rather the Act of the Foich of him that is baptized, than his soint issee Water and coming out again to But What Paul knew this to be the flate of the Galatians NO other way, but by their external Act of being baptized with Water, is both wild and unfound, and a miferable IMPORT indeed.

nd

n

ſe.

y-

e-

m

as le

fe

y

6,

1-

0

2

ıc

n

S

ıl

d

.

2

d

0

Your eighth Angument is, & If being baptized into Christ be aputting on of Christ, as Paul expresses, then they have not put on Chrift, in that fenfahe means, that are not bapaized; if this putting on of Christ. dorb not respect the visibility of Christianity's uffige fometbing elfe as its fignification; great mens Servants are known by their Mafters Lin veries, fo are Gospel-Believers by this Livery of Water-baptifm, that all that ufinfle trufted in Christ (abmitted unto ; which is in it felf is as much an Obligation to all Gofpel-Obedience, 4

Circumcifion was to keep the whole Law. Anfw. For a reply to the fieft part of this Argument, go back to the Answer to the feventhadillog A ollat oda ed bogist

Now that none have put on Christ in Paul's fenich wea, in a faving, in the best sense bur them that have, as you would have them, good into Water, will be hard for you to prove, yea, is ungodly for you to affert.

Your comparing Water-baptilm to a Gen-

F 2 tlemans elemans Livery, by which his Name is known

Go you but ten doors from where men have knowledge of you, and fee how many of the World, or Christians, will know you by this goodly Livery, to be one that hath put on Christ. What! known by Water-baptism to be one that hath put on Christ, as a Gentlemans man is known to be his Masters Servant, by the gay Garment his Master gave him, Away fond man, you do quite forget the Text, By THIS shall all men know that you was my Disciples, if you have love one to another, John 13, 35.

freak property it is falle, for let it by it lelf, and it stands without the stamp of Heaven appon it, and without its signification also and how, assuch, it should be obliging, I

fee not

Acts 15.

Gal. 5. 1,

Where you infinuare, it comes in the room of, and obligeth as Circumcifion: You fay, you know not what. Circumcifion was the initiating Ordinance, but this you have denyed to Baptism. Further, Circumcifion THEN bound men to the whole Obedience of the Law, when urged by the falle Apostles, and received by an erroneous Conscience. Would you thus urge Water-baptism! would you have men to receive it with such Consciences? Circumcision in the slesh, was a Type of Circumcision in the heart, and not of Water-baptism.

Rom. 2. 28, 29. Phil. 2. 3.

Your

the Lord stire. For following like things of

78

y

ń

0

4

i,

L

ic

*

0

D,

I

n

,

ł

ī

e

ì

ŕ

Tf it were commendable in the Theffeloutains, that they followed the footefups of the Church of Judes or Thefe sa an owner in a pears followed this under of piding Baptisch Believers duty the Church of Thurstop that have found out another may of making Church-Members, are not by that Rule praise worth, but rather to be blamad; it was not problem was fine in corrupted times; but that which was from the beginning: the fift Churches were the purest Paterna praise more the purest Paterna problem of the good body of

Anfw. That the Text laith there was & Church O F fades, I find not, (4 Theff. 2. 14.) And that the The falow mears commended for refuting to the communion with the unbaptized Believers, (for shar is our queftion) prove it by the word, and then you do fomething Again, that the commendations (I Theff 2014.) do chiefy or at all re spect their being Baptized to Grands they followed the Charetes of God, which is Judea were in Christ Fefen, in the Example of Watch Baptilm is quite befide the word, The Verle runs thus, for the Brackers, became followers of the Churches of God, which in Judes me in Christ Tofus, for so also have suffered like things of your own Country-men, even as they have of the fews, &c. This Text. then commends them, not for that they were baptized with Water, but, for that they flood. their ground, although baptized with fuffer. ing, like them in Judea, for the Name of the

the Lord Jelus. For suffering like things of their own Consurrement, their they did of the Joseph Mill you not yet deave off to abuse the Woodhof God, and subsequenting it out its place, to maintain your bushristian passible of Trice and Trice their blook of Revoluted Retryladges.

The unbaptized Believen unfitted of taking them Their blook of Revoluted of taking them their blook of the will be ready, a Labubb and house holdes also for beinden decreptures of much believe the purpose; a subfold freshing them to mile ably to uphold you in your fancier, the first and a start of the passible was a complete abuse of the purpose; a subfold freshing them to mile ably to uphold you in your fancier, the first and a start of the passible as the That The Taylor and Taylor

Answ. Suppose all, if all these Churches were baptized, what then? that answersh not our Question. We ask where you find it written, that those that are baptized, should keep

Ban Fo Communityien.

keep men as holly, and as much behand a the Lord Johns as themlelves, bout of Change Communions for wants of light an Warn Bapeling page and to nothing a state of its

Why we plead for their schnission, should they so not yet, what that is their Oney because we are not forbidden, but commende to receive them, because God and Christ

hath donoicin Romard, Rist, wol

be

ıſc

H

2

¥+

B

地方ははア

1

12

•

1

The membraced Perform multing necessary fines Churches analy, beginning they necessary fines change after they necessary more properties and fines change after any may not enters phone for the like presentings, that many other to a pullated dimenting of them the faces ground of a many of the first of many of the first ground of a many of the first ground of a many of the first ground of a many of the first ground of the many of the principle. Charolest many of a make the many of the principle, Charolest many of a make the many of the principle, Charolest many of a many of the principle, Charolest many of the many of the many of the principle.

caule they are Believers, but for fuch Believers of whom we are personaded by the Word.

that God Hach received them with a muiter

There are some of the Ordinances that be they neglected, the being of a Church, as to be rejustile. Gospel Could ution; as a soin quite away; but Baptism is name of share, it being so Church Ordinance as such nor any part of Faith, nor of that Holines of hear, or life; that sheweth me to the Church to be indeed a visible Saint. The Saint is a Saint before, and may walk with God, and be faithful

Differences about Water Baptifus,

tilihed with the Saints, and to his own Light they though he never be baptized. Therefore to plead for his admittion, makes no way at all for the admittion of the open proplane, and receive, as YOM profess YOM do, Perford to propagate the Lord Table, and what have Appointments, pag. 29.

Your twelfth Argument is

Why (hould Professors have more Light in breaking of Breat, about Baptifus ? That this with the for arread for their exemple. Hinth God between paring in making out the mind in the int, rather than the other? Is there more Properts or Precedents for the Supper, than Baptifus ? Hath God been fo bountsful in makind out himself about the Supper, that few or none, that own Ordinances, foruple it : And mail Baptifus be fuch a rock of effence, to Profeffors? That very few will inquire after it, or (ubmit to it ? Hath not man's wisdom interpofed to darken this part of God's Counfel ? By which Profeffors from willingly led, though a gainft fo many plain Commands and Examples, written at with a Sun-beam, that be that rans mayread ? And minft an Advocate be entertained to plead for forgrofs a piece of ignerance; that the meaneft babes of the firft Goffel timet were never gailey of ?

Must God be called to an account by you, why he giveth more Light about the Supper, than Baprilin? May he not show to or conceal from this, or another of his

Servants,

Servants, which of his Truths he ple Some of the Members of the Church of rufalem had a greater Truth than this from them, for ought I know, as long as t lived, (Atts 11.19.) yet God was not led in question about it.

ht cay some

u d

.

10

L

L

ŕ

a. Breaking of Bread, not Baptilm, be ing a Church-Ordinance, and the freh alfo. as must be often reiterated; yea, it being an Ordinance SO full of bleffedness, as lively to prefent Union and Communion with Christ to all the Members that worthily eat thereof; I fay, The Lord's Supper being (uch, that while the Members fit at that wall they hew to each other the Death and Blood of the Lord; as they ought to do, till be comer, (E Cor. 10. 19, 16,17. & 11. 22,23. 24, 25, 26) the Church, as a Church, is much more concerned in THAT, than in Water-baptifus, both as to her Faith, and Comfort; both as to her Union, and Cons-

2. Your supposition. That very few Profeflors will feriously enquire after Waterbaptifin, is the rade. What! must all the Children of God, that are not baptized for wans of Light, be full fligmatized, with want of ferious inquiry after God's mind in ech both with Sor fruit and Realon, at

That I am an Advocate, entertained, to plead for lo grois a piece of ignorance, as want of Light in Baprilm, is but like the reft of your jumbling. I plead for Communion with men, godly and faithful, I plead that

they

Differentas about Haver Reptifm;

in bearade due his rich is bivissor ad personale bluoch we be bearings bine la bosinost destruction bearings bearing selected in a greater Truth that the modisoving from them, for ought I know, as long as they

If Obedience must discours the require to an in the second of a man's Raich to others, who must be begin be some second of the cours of the profession of the cours of the profession of the course of the profession of the course of the cours

1

devising a me seed of the state
2. Who they are that licepite it, I know not that that Chairen membership may be without it, (feeing, every you good feld have concluded, it is no Chilren Ordinance, p. 300), licendent both with Scripture and Reason, as thine Arguments make manifold So that all your Arguments prove no more that this That just and prove we desired the stress, that Charity can have be plate with you. Have you all this while so much as given me one finally.

milion Banta Communication office piece of a Test to prove it unlawful the the Church to receive shale whom the bud Word, perceiver the Lord God and Chaift hath received? No : Mind therefore - > god you have faid to much as amounts to so been without an at enowledgement that Rends were Sinness innumber All Your late Counted o If the Baptifen of John was fo for benowed, and dignified what they that did fabris to its 8 - 1 dest ary find to pultifu God; and shela that did is pot, are faid to rejett bis counfel againft shows felver: fo than their reactiving, at rejetting the whole Detrine of God, bath its denomination from this fingle Prothics. I And it abore not as much to be faid of the Baptifo of Chrift, unlafe rem will fais is incinferior to John is in more b endingend beingen said on to enlight to lievers, and of our receiving the Doctrine of the Lord Jesus, is not to be reckened from out Bantilin, revident & Bocaule according to exact Nation of it, they only sharhave her fore received the Doctrine of the Galoti, and fo fhew it us by their Confession of Faith, they only dught to be baptized. This might forve for an Answer for all . But, 1 2. The Baptism of Jehn was the Baptism of Bepentances for the Remiffen of Sons, of Matth 3. which Water was but an outpard fignificaci- 4,5,6. on, Mack sign Naw, what is the Beptifm Luk.3.3 of Repentance, but an unfeigned acknowledgment that they were Sinners, and so stood in Chap.7. need of a Saviour, Jefus Chrift: This Baptifm, 29,30.

or Baptilm under this Notion, the Pharifees Cha. 18 9.

would

1 10

ald

14

vil

be

di-

6

of

22

a,

.

He

1

h

E III

Differences about Water-Baptifus,

would not receive, For they traffed to them that they were righteens, that they were not not need of NO who will have been baptized with Water, might that have been without an acknowledgement that they were Sinners: wherefore leeing the Counfel

of God respected, rather the Remission of party, fins by Josus Christ, than the outward act of Water-baptism, ye ought not, as you do, by this your Reasoning, to make it rather, at least in the revelation of it, to terminate in the outward act of being baptized, but in unfeigned and sound Repentance, and the receiving of Jesus Christ by Faith.

Further, A defire to future to John's Water-baptism, or of being baptized by him in Water, did not demonstrate by that SINGLE ad, the receiving of the whole Doctrine of

God, as you suggest.

why did John rejett the Pharifees that would have been bapeized? and Paul examine them that were? Matth, 3.7. Acts 19.

If your Doctrine be erne, why did they not rather fay, Oh! feeing you define to be baptized, feeing you base been baptized, you need not to be questioned any further your submitting to Jaba's Water; to us is a fusticient testimony, even the single all, that you have received the whole Doctrino of God.

But I say, why did John call them Vi-

had yet received the Hely Ghest: Yes, it is evident, that a man may be desirous of Warer that a man may be baptized, and nether own the Doctrine of Repentance, nor know on whom he should believe: evident, I say, and that by the same Texts (Matth. 3. y. All: 19.2, 3, 4.)

no Ben to G

10

ve ve

ey

lel of

of

yft ie

-

You have grounded therefore this your last Argument, as also all the rest, upon an acter mistake of things.

I come



longsvang Osmahal nadd evez place store marketing in an allege shi A. A. est received the Holy Chaft: Year it is

come now to your Questions; which although they be mixed with Gall, I will with patience fee if I can turn them into Food.

Your first Question is,

I Ask your own beart, whether popularity and applause of variety of Professors, be not in the bottom of what you have faid; that bath been your fnare to pervert the right wayes of the Lord, and to lead others into a path unbersin me can find more of the face fleps of the

Plock in the first Ages?

Answ. Setting afide a retaliation, like your Question, I say, and God knows I speak the truth, I have been tempted to do what I have done, by a provocation of fixteen years long; tempted, I fay, by the Brethren of your way : Who, when-ever they saw their opportunity, have made in their business to fock, to rend us in pieces; mine own felf they have endeavoured to perswade to forsake the Church ; fome they have gent quite off from us, others they have attempted, and attempted to divide and break off from us, but by the mercy of God, have been hitherto prevented.

A more large account you may have in my next, if you think good to demand it; but I

thank

Differencember Present Amprifer,

thank God that I have written what I have

purities I directed visual as we may figure to Court and House you dook Brokerly for these you dook Brokerly for the bound of the pour Breekrey, in print, the she fake of the World, when you had apportunity to knowed with them of reputation amongst this before printing, being allowed the liberty by thems; at the fulue time for you to speak among them to

Anfw. I have thrown no dirt upon them, nor laid any thing to their charge, if their Practice be warrantable by the Word ; ber you have not been offended at the dirts, your felves have thrown at all the Godly de the Land that are not of our Portwolion, in counting them unfit to be communicated with, or to be accompanyed with in the House of God. This dirt you never complained of, mor would, I doubt, to this day, might you be Still let alone to throw it. As to my Book, it was Princed before I spake with any of you. or knew whether I might be accepted of you. As to them of reputation among you, I know others not one tittle inferior to them, and have my liberty to confult with who I like beft

Quelt. 3. Doth your carriage auswer the Law of Love or Civility, when the Brethrin used means to sand for you for a conference, and their Letter was received by you, that you should go out again from the City after knowledge of their desires, and not vouchfase a mooring with them, when the glory of God, and the vindication of so many Churches is contestined.

Anfw.

No. 1

e

Majo. The reason why I came not amongst you, was partly because I confused mine own weakness, and counted not my felf, being a dull-headed man, able to engage fo many of the chief of you, as I was then informed intended to meet me; I also feared, in personal Disputes, hears and bitter contentions might arife, a thing my Spirit hath not pleafure in . I feared alfo, that both my felf and words would be mifreprefented; and that not without cause, for if they that Answer a Book will aker, and scrue Arguments out of their places and make my Sentences stand in their own words, not mine, when (I fay) my words are in a Book to be feon. What would you have done, had I in the leaft, either in matter or manner, though but feemingly miscarried among you?

As for the many Churches which you say are concerned, as also the Glory of God, I doubt not to say they are only your worders Opinions that are concerned; the Glory of God is vindicated: We receive him that God bath received, and that to the glory of God,

Rom. 19. 16.

Quest. 4. Is it not the Spirit of Diotrephes of old, in you, who loved to have the prehentinence, that you are so bold to keep out all the Bretbren, that are not of your mind in this matter, from having any entertainment in the Churches or Meetings to which you belong, though you your self have not been dinyed the like liberty, among them that are contraryminded to you? Is this the way of your retain.

á

nin to American afraid lost table Truth should Printers, who defined & everyway whose plant or defendes hay, I would not have the Spin sit you salk of, what I have of it God sake it from me But what was the Spirit of Die trephes ? Why, not to receive the Brethrenian wibe Churth, and to forbid obem that would, (3 John p. 10.) This do not I; I am for Communion with Saints, because they are Saints Lilius none of the Brethren out of the Churches mor forbid them that would receive themam Lay again, hew me shown that is a middle Believer, and that walleth with God a and thought he differ with me.aboue Baptifre, the Doors of the Church stand open for him, and allow bleaven-boro Priviledges he shall be admitted to them But how came Diorrela to lately into our parts ? Where was he in those dayes that our Brethren of the Bapuzed way, would neither receive into the Church, nor pray with men as good as themselves, because they were not baptized bits would either, like Quakers Stand With wheir Hass on their heads, on elfe withdraw till we had done and to with uo brids some not fuffering those you plead for to preaching our Assemblies, the Reason is, becauft we cannot yer prevent with them, to represciple their Church francing Principles. As to the Retaliation, mind the band of Ged, and temember Administrated Judg. 197.0

Let the Truth come jute our quarters and Prov. 6, 10. welcome, but forent of Differd, because the Rom. 16. Lard hatesit, we also our felves will avoid them. 17, 13. Queft.s.

4

n

15

C a

I 1

f

n y

S

if

e

t

*

_

Quell, y. Arbbirt in consumpt cult upon the Brechren, who defined your substitution, when at the substitution substitution you had uppersoning to speak to them; instant of when, you becomitive the Letter) to others, the way of inflation upon them?

story. It is no contempt at all to consider men more wife and suchcious than him that wrote, or my fell either. But why not obnfule with others, is wifeened directly the for do you count all that your felves have no handle of the state of

hund in done to your dife grugement?
Dueft: 6. Did not your or ejamption groups
pour or provoke TMB Rit to principle, in your
Letter to them, when they deficed in he found
in no fuch gradies; left the Eugenies of Truch

Should take advantage by it?

Anfa. What provoked you to Print, will be belt known at the Day of Judgmont, whether your fear of losing your wordless Opinion, or my plan Answer to your Letter the words in my Letter are; As for my Book, over lafer its Answer till you speak with me, for I frevenut for master, but Truck. Though you did not defire to write, yet with us there was continual labour to rend us to pieces, and to prevent that, was my first Book written. And let who will take advantage, so the Truck of God, and the edification of my Brother be presmoted.

Quelt. 7. Whether your Principle and Praflice is not equally organife orbors as well in in, wir; Episcopal, Prosbyterians, and Independents, who are also of our fide, for our practice, though

they

í

they lifter with his about the fulfall of Emperfect Do you delighe to have your hand quite comp

Anfo: I don Water-bapting to be God's Ordinance, buel make no Idolof in Whete your call now the Epifopal to fide with your ad also the Proposition Son you will me find them eatily perhapsed to conclude with you against me. They are against your manner of Dipping, as well as the Subject of Wan ter baprilm; neither do you, for all you flacter them, agree cogether in all burein Subjects Do you allow their Sprinkling P Do you ald low their figning with the Gros? Why then have you fo floutly, an hundred times over. condemned these things as Antichristian. I am not against every man, though by your shufive language you would les every ont gainft me, but am for Union, Concerd and Communion with Saints, as Saints, and for that cause I wrote my Book.

Harry Land

al

c-

1

6

h

id

n.

h

De

٠

u,

ð,

gb

To Conclude,

t. In ALL I have faid. I put a difference between my Brothson of the Bapelon way; I know found are more moderate shim fame.

2. When I plead for the ambiptized, I chiefly intend those that are not SO baptized as my Brethren judge right, according to the fift pattern.

Arguments, and things immediately depend-

Differenges about Water Baptifm,

ing upon than and not conclude he bath sone freed a Back, and more back, and a properties of more of the sone of t

I have done, when I have told you, that I have ton you and you may lelk in the more for Markery, nor 19 flow my lelk in the more for Markery in the most of the most of the find of the most of the mo

gamilt are, but an ior Usin, Concord, and Communion with Sairs, as Saines, and for that easied wrotein, those.

ERRATA

Page 19, line 14, for in read above 14 11 action Page 19, line 17, leave out Anfan 1 action Page 20, line 12, leave out thereby

2. When I plant is not made prized I chiefly surend these sing and wifted beneated arms bretheen such a right, seconding to the

discourage and country agent worth my feeling and more than the feelings in a chieff depend.

100

was to weakness in the Graces of

Here followeth Mr.

Henry Fessey's Judgment upon the same

Argument.

hamme of sid Rom, 14.1;

ore GOOD Translate on cond it.

501

Si di

sid

Such as are weak in the Faith, re-

Hereas some suppose the receiving there mentioned, was but receiving into brotherly Affection, such as were in Church-Fellowship; but not a receiving of such as were weak into the Church.

For answer unto which confider,

That in the Text are two things to be en-

First, What weakness of Paich this is,

Secondly. By whom, and to what, he that is weak in the Faith, is to be received? To the First, What weakness of Faith this is that must not hinder receiving, whether

was it weakness in the Graces of Faith, or in the Doctrine of Faith? It's conceived the doded but the Sea intended.

Mar.o. 24.

First. That some of the Lord's People are weak in the Graces of Faith, will be confedled Luk. 4.25 by all, and that the Lord would have his Levels fed as well as his Sheep, and his Chitdres as well as grown Men, and that he fath given the right to Galpel-praitedges, nor to degrees of Grace, bur to the Truch ; Himribae is weak in the Paith, receive you or UNTO you, as fome GOOD Translations read it. Rom. 14.1.

Secondly, It's supposed this Command of receiving him that is weak in the Faith, doth principally intend, that is weak in the Dollrine of Fairh, and that not fo much in the Doctrine of Tuftification, as in Gospel-Inftitutions, as doth appear by the second and firth verses, which shews, that it was in matter of Practice, wherein some were weak, and at which others were offended; notwithflanding the Glorious Lord who bears all his Ifrael upon his heart receives, verf. z. and commandeth, him that is wrak in the Faith receive you. or unto you.

Therefore, here we are to enquire of the receiving in the Text, By whom, and to what, he that is weak in the Math, thould be re-

ceived.

In which enquiry there are Two parts.

Secondly, To what?

in

d

is

L

h

0

.

đ

ie

-

ħ

ſ

t

1

2

2

201

To the First. The Text makes answer, Him that is weak in the First, receive year, or unto you; which must be the Church at Rome, to whom the Epistle was writ, as allo, to all beloved of God, called to be Saints, Rome 1.7. And as to them, so unto all Churches, and Saints, Beloved and called throughout the World.

Note, That Epifles are as well to direct how Charches are to carry things toward Saints without, at to Salute within; and also toward all men so as to give no offence to few or Gentile, nor to the Church of God. x Cos. 10.32.

The fecond pare of the Enquiry is. what he that is weak in the Faith is to be received? whether only unto mutual affection, as some affirm, as if he were in Church-Fellowship before, that were weak in the Faith? or whether the Text doth as well, if not rather intend, the receiving fuch as were, and are weak in the Faith. Not only unto munial affection if in the Church, but unto Church fellow thip allo, if they were out, For clearing of which, confider, To whom the Epiffle was written, Rom. 1.7. Not only to the Church there, but unto all that were beloved of God, and called to be Saints in all Ages. And as at Rome it is like there then were, and in other places now are Saint; weak in the Faith, both in and out of Church-Fellowfhip; And it is probable there then were, and elsewhere now are those that will cast such out of their mutual affection. And if they will cast such our of their murual affection that are withm,

Church-Fellowship thole that are without.

Arg.: Whereas the Lord's care extends to all his, and if it were a good Argument in the third verse, for them to receive thole within because Good hath received them, it would be as good an Argument to receive in those without, for God bath received them also: unless it could be proved, that all that were and are weak in the Faith, were and are in Church-Fellowship, which is not likely; For if they would cast such out of their Affection that are within, they would upon the same account keep them out of Church-fellowship, that were without: Therefore as it is a Duty to receive those within unto mutual Affection, SO it is holes a duty, by the Text, to receive

Arg. 2. Is urged from the words themselves which are, Receive him that is weak in the Pailb, wherein the Lord puts NO limitation, in this Text or in any other, and who is be then that can restrain it, unless he will simile the Holy One of Israel? and how would such an interpretation, solithly charge the Lord, as if he took care ONLY of those within, but not LIKE care of those without? whereas he commanded them to receive them, and useful this Motive, he had received them, and he received them those that are weak in the Faith,

fuch weak ones as are without, into Church-

Fellowship.

if without, as well as those within.

From the Example, (to wit) That God had required them; whereas, had he been of the Church.

Church, they would have been periwaded of that before the Motive was urged: For no keep in any, whom they judged the Lord had not received, but those weak ones were fuch a they questioned whether the Lord had received them, elle the Text had not been an aniwer sufficient for their receiving them: There might have been objected. They hold up Jewish observations of Meats, and Dayes. which by the Death of Christ were abolithed. and fo did deny fome of the Effects of his Death; yet the Lord who was principally wronged could pals the by, and commander b others to receive them allo. And if it be a in any thing, whom the Lord hath received Then there can be no good Argument to reles for any thing for which the Lord will not fafett them : For elle the Command in the first verice and his Example in the third verle were infufficient , without some other Arguments unto the Church, beside his Command and Example.

Some Object, Chap. 15.7. Receive 104 one, another, as Christ bath received as anto the Glory of God, and from thence supposing they were all in Church-fellowship before, whereas the Text faith not so. For it you consider the 8th and 9th veries, you may see he speaks unto Jows and Gentiles in general, that if the Jows had the receiving, they should receive Gentiles; and if the Gentiles had the receiving, they should receive they should receive Tenes; For had they not

been

been on both fides commanded, The Fower might have faid to the Geneiles, you are commanded to receive us, but we are not comsanded to receive you; and if the weak had the receiving, they should receive the strong; and if the strong had the receiving, they should not keep out the weak; and the Text is reinforced with the Example of the Sage recoming an unto the Glory of God, that as he receiveth Jewes, and poor Gentiles, weak, and frong; in Church-fellowship, or out of Church-fellowship: So should they, to the Glory of God. And as the Lord Jesus recrived fome, though they held fome things more than were Commanded, and some things les than were Commanded, and as those that were weak and in Church-fellowship, so those that were weak and out of Church-fellowthip; and that not only into mutual Affection, but unto Fellowship with himself; and fo fhould they, not only receive such as were weak within into murual Affection, bur fuch as were without, both to mutual Affection and to Church-fellowship : Or elfe such weak ones as were without, had been excluded by the Text. Oh! how is the heart of God the Father and the Son fet upon this, to have his Children in his House, and in one anothers hearts as they are in his, and are born upon the shoulders and breasts of his Son their High-Prieft? and as if all this will not do it, but the Devil will divide them still whose work it properly is ; But the God of Peace will come in forely, and bruife Satan under

their feet, as in Rem. 16, 20. And they will agree to be in one House, when they are more of one Heart; in the mean time pray as in Chap. 15. 5. Now the God of Patience and Confolation grant that me be like-minded one separate another according to Christ Jifus.

I shall endeavour the answering of some

Objections, and leave it unto Confideration.

Obj. Some lay this bearing, or receiving,

were but in things andifferent.

Anfa. That eating, or forbearing upon a civil account, are things indifferent, is true: But not when done upon the account of Worthip, as keeping of Dayes, and effablishing Terrilly observations about Meats, which by the Death of Christ are taken away, and it is not fairly to be imagined the lame Church at Rome look'd fo upon them as indifferent; nor that the Lord doth; That it were all alike to him to hold up Jewish Observations, or to keep Days or no Days, right Days or wrong Days, as indifferent things, which is a great mistake, and no less than to make Gods Grace little in receiving fuch : For If it were but in things wherein they had not finned, it were no great matter for the Lord to receive, and it would have been as good an Argument or Motive to the Church, to fay the things were indifferent, as to lay the Lord bad recesped them.

Whereas the Text is to let out the Riches of Grace to the Vellels of Mercy, as Remo. 15. That as at first he did freely chuse and accept them; fo when they fail and miscarry in many things, yea about his Worship also, although he be most injured thereby, yet he is first in passing it by, and perswading others to do the like; That as the good Sameritan did in the Old Testament, so our good Sameritan doth in the New, when Priest and Levice pass d by, Pastor and People pass by; yet he will not, but pours in Oyl, and carries them to his Inn, and calls for receiving, and setting it upon his account.

and ferting it upon his account.

Object. That this bearing with, and receiving fuch as are weak in the Faith, must be Umsted to Meats, and Dayes, and such like things that had been old Jews (bObservations, but not nuth the being senerant in, or doubting of

any New-Testament-Institution.

Anim. Where the Lord puts no limitation, men should be wary how they do it, for they must have a Command or Example, before they can limit this Command; for although the Lord took this occasion from their difference about Meats and Dayes to give this Command, yet the Command is not limited there, no more than Mai. 12: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. That when they made use of his good Law rigorously in the Letter, he presently published an Act of Grace, in the 7th verie, and tells them, Had they known what this means the I will have Marcy and not Sacrifice, they would say have condemned the smithless as also Mat. 9. 13. Go learn what this means the I will have Mercy and not Sacrifice, which is not to be limited unto what was the present occasion of publishing the Command,

15

TS

d

6

but observed as a general Rule poop all occasions, wherein Mercy and Sacrifice comes in competition, to show the Lord will rather have Dury omitted that is due to him, then Mercy to his Creatures omitted by them. the Text, when some would not receive such s were weak in the Faith, as to matters of Practice, the Lord was pleased to publish this ACT of Grace; Him that is weak in the Eath, receive you, but not to doubtful diffu-Now unless it be proved, that no Saint can be weak in the Faith in any thing but Meats and Days, or in some Old-Tellament-Observation, and that he ought not to begindged a Saint, that is weak in the Faith, as it relates to Golpel-Institutions, in matters of practice, you cannot limit the Text, and you must also prove his weakness SUCH, that the Lord will not receive bim; elle the Command in the first yerie, and the Resion on Motive in the third verte, will both be in fore upon you, to wit, Him that is weak in e Each, receive jon, or unto jon, for God Object. But fome may object from 1 Con. 12. 13. For by one Spirit are we all haprized into one Body, whether we be Jews or Gen-

in 13. For by one Spirit are we all haptized into one Body, whether we be I was or Gentiles. Some there are that affirm the to be meant of Water santiffue and their bettiener Charefue are to be admitted and joined unto free Charefue.

Apple. That the Baptism intended in the Text, is the Spirits-populm, and not Water-baptism

baseifin : and that the Body the Text intends, is not principally the Church of Corinth, but all Believers, both Jews and Gentiles, being baptized into one Myftical Body, as Epbef. 4. 4. There is the Body, and one Spirit, wherein there is fer our the United and the United; therefore in the third verle they are exhorted to keep the usiry of the Spirit in the Bond of The United are all the Faithful, in one Badw: into whom? in the fifth verie, in one Lord Jelus Chrift; by what? one Paith, one Bapulm, which CANNOT be meant of Water haptism; for Water-baptism doch not unite all this Body, for some of them never had Water-baptilin, and are yet of this Body, and by the Spirit gathered into one Lord Jefus Chrift, Epbel. 1. 10. both which are in Heaven and in Earth. Tew and Gentile. Exer, 2. 10. that he might reconcile both un. to God in one Body by his Cross; the Intrument you have in ver! It. by our Spirit, Ephel. 3. 6. That the Gentiles thould be Pellow-Heirs of the fame Body, verf. 15. whom the whole family in Heaven and Earth w named. And the Reafons of their keeping the Unity of the Spirit, in Eph.4.3. is hid down in v.4 5. being one Body, one Spirit, having one Hope, one Lord, one Faith, one Baptilin, thether they were Jews or Gentiles, fuch as were in Heaven or in Earth, which CANNOT be meant of Water-baptism, for in that sense, they had not all one Baptism, not admitted and united thereby: So in I Cor. 12, 13, Por by one Special set are all baptized

Supplied her me Buly, whether Jesse's Goal siles, which we be bead or free, we buildly fees all made to drink sine as Spirit; which CANNOT be meant of Water-baptilin, his regard all the Body of Christ, Jews and Genetics, bond and free, purpose not there-

りにる。

Object. But Ephel. 4. 5. faith, there will be one Baptiling and by what bath been fail, if granted, there-baptiling will be excluded, or offer there is more Baptiline than one.

Anjo, It followers not that because the

Spirit will have no corrival, that therefore other things may not be in their place? That because the Spirit of God taketh the prebenisence, therefore other things may not be lab. fervience 2 Toba 2, 27. The Apostle with them, That the analoging which they foul for coincid of him, abidist is them; and our most one, faith he, that my mine track you for it has the fame analoging consists you all things. By this forme may absent, Toba excludes the Minitry ino fach matter, though the Holy Ghoft had confirmed and infracted them to in the Trush of the Golpel, as that they were fornithed against Sedaters in v. 20. yet you fee chings a Aculto in Sphel, 4. 11, 12, 13, he gave some Apolitics, some Prophets, some Evangelists some Pattors, and Teachers, verf. 12. for the perfecting of the Saints, for the work of the Ministry, for the edifying of the Body of Chail ; very by Til we all come in the unity of the Emit, and of the knowledge

17

of the Sound Godesanto of perfect mars unto the values of the flavore of the fuluity of Christs bin the Spirits baptilm, though it have the preheminence, and appropriatesh formerbings, as peculiar to it lets as declined thereby de-literating the and End of Mater bapeting or any other Ordinance in its place : for Waterhaptile, is a means, to increase Grace, and in Remulion of fine more deared and wieneffed; yet the giving Grace, and regenerating and renewing is the Holy Spirit's prodiate "Confider THE S. Sin By the walking of Regimera tions and renewing of the Haly Ghoffan Baptilm heing the outward fign of the inward Graces wrought by the Spirit, a representation or houres as in A Per-3. 21. The like Figure, whereante Busila dock now also fave us, no the patting on and the pick of the flats, but the animar of a good Cantornes, tempods and by the Relative flats, by the Relative flats, but they wing. That she Spicing that part is chiefly so be looked at o Though luch as light Water baptiles as the Pharife's and Lawyers did Luke 71-30, reject the Courle of God against themselves not being Bap tired; And fuch as would fet Water baptula Derry and dignity of the Spirit, and dogive the Glory due vito him, as God bibled for By which marks on the mine with a strain of the work water

By which miliake for lessing up Waterbabtum in the Spirits place, and alligning is a Work, which was never appointed unto it

of

of forming the Body of Christ, either in general, as in T Cor. 12.13. Epb.4.5. or as to particular Churches of Christ, we may lee the fruit, that inftead of being the means of uniting as the Spirit doth, that it bath not only rent his feamless Coat, but divided his Body which he hath purchased with his own blood, and opposed that great delign of Father, Son, and Spirit, in uniting poor Saints, there. by pulling in pieces what the Spirit hath put together. Him that is weak in the Faith receive you, for God bath received him ; being fuch as the Spirit had baptized and admitted of the Body of Chrift, he would have his Churches receive them allo " whole Baptilm is the ONLY Baptism, and so is called the ONE Baptism: Therefore confider, whether fuch a Practice, bath a Command of an Example, that Persons must be joyned into Church-fellowship by Water-baptilm; For John baptized many, yet he did not baptize fome into one Church, and fome into another. nor all into one Church (as the Church of Rome doth) : And into what Church die Philip baptize the Ennuch, or the Apostic the Taylor and his house? And all the reft they baptized, were they not left free to joyn themfelves for their convenience, and Edification? All which I leave to Confideration, I might have named some inconveniencies, if not abfurdities that would follow the Affertion; As to father the miltakes of the Baptizers on the Spirit's act, who is not miftaken in any He baptizeth ; no falle Brethren ercep in unawares

-4

wares into the Myflical Body by him, and aldo, how this manner of forming Churches
swould fuir a Country, where many are conyerted, and willing to be baptized; but there
being no Church to be baptized; but there
half such a Church-flate begins? The first must
be baptized into no Church, and the rest into, him as the Church, or the Work standstill
for want of a Church, and many they have not

sugdied siried of the social of gainly you and hath budgined Order in all the Churcher of Christian hat had no his Infancy it have in material material more than had on his Infancy it have in material more than he children will be brought in the lame Raile Children will be brought in the bourab merupy of all all

and herh ordained Orders in all the Churches and herh ordained Orders in all the Churches of Christis true, and that this is noise of the Orders so receive him that this weak in the Faith, is as true. And though there he no Example of Command in formany words, ten diet fuch an one that holds the Baptist he had in his infancy, nor to reject fuch a one a bue there is a Command to reseive him that is weak in the Faith without limitation band it is like this might more be a doubt in those dayes, and longer poken of in particular, it a

But the Lord properties to temedy for all times, in the lord properties the fact with the Faith receipt some for elle receiping, mould not be upon the account of Sainthops that upon known the account of Sainthops that upon the sainthops the sainthops that upon the sainthops the sainthops that upon the sainthops the sainthops the sainthops that upon the sainthops the sainth

re

W

ft

F

1

5

,

Fauh.

knowing, and doing all things according to Rule and Order, and that must be perfectly. elle for to deny any thing, or to affirm too much is diforderly, and would hinder receiver ing: But the Lord deals not so with his People, but accounts LOVE the fulfilling of the Law, though they be ignorant in many things, both as to knowing, and doing; and receives them into Communion and Fellowship with himself, and would have others do the fame allo: And if he would have so much bearing in the Apostle's dayes, when they had infallible helps to expound Trushs unto them. much more now the Church hath been to long in the Wildernels and in Captivity, and not that his Reople should be driven away in the dark day, though they are fick and weak, see 34. 16, 21. And that it should be supposed such renderness would bring in Children in Age to be Church-members, yea, and

Object. By this, Some Ordinances may be loft or omitted, and is it to be supposed the Lord wante fuffer any of his Ordinances to be loft or emitted in the Old or New-Testament, or the right ale of them, and yet own Juch for true Charobes, and what reason can there be for

welcome, if any body could prove them in the Faith, though never lo weak; for the Text is, Him that a weak in the Faith, receive you ? It is not lie, and his Wife, and Children, unless is can be proved, they are in the

Anfw. The Lord hath fuffered fome Ordinances to be omitted and loft in the Old-Testament, and yet owned the Church, Though Circumcifion were emitted in the Wildernels, yet he owned them to be his Church, Acts 7. 38, and many of the Ordinances were lost in the Captivity; See Ainsworth upon Exed: 28.30, 60. which shewed what the High-Priest was to put on, and were not to be omitted upon pain of death, as the Urim and Thammim, yet being loft, and feveral other Ordinances, the Ark, with the Mercy-Seat and Cherubims, the Fire from Heaven, the Majesty and Divine Presence, short of the First, and filled it with his Glory, and honoured it with his Son, being a Member and a Minister therein. Mal. 3. 1. The Lord whom you feek will suddenly come to bis Temple : So in the New-Testament, fince their Wildernels condition and great and long Captivity, there is some darkness and doubts, and want of Light in the best of the Lord's People, in many of his Ordinances, and that for feveral Ages, and yet how hath the Lord owned them for his Churches, wherein he is to have Glory and Praise throughout all Ages, Eph. 3. 21. And fo should we own them, unless we will contemu the Generation of the Just : It must be confelicd, That if exact Practice be required, and clearness in Gospel-Institutions before Communion; who date be so bold as to fay h.

he

is i-

/d

bi

1,

h

m

c,

gh 0-

r.

to

ce

d

d

ne

3,

h

3,

Se

3#

n-

ı,

re

y

his hands are clean, and that he hath done all the Lord Commands, as to Institutions in his Worship? and must not confess the Change of Times doth necessirate some Variation, if not Alteration either in the matter or manner of things according to Primitive Practice. yet owned for true Churches, and received as visible Saints, though ignorant either wholly or in great measure, in laying on of hands. finging, washing of feet, and anointing with ovl, in the Gifts of the Spirit, which is the Vrim and Thummim of the Gospel ? and it cannot be proved that the Churches were fo ignorant in the Primitive times, nor yet that fuch were received into Fellowship; yet now herein it is thought meet there should be bearing, and why not in Baptilin, especially in fuch at own it for an Ordinance, though in some things mifs it, and do yet thew their love unto it, and unto the Lord, and unto his Law therein, that they could be willing to die for it rather than to deny it, and to be baptized in their blood; which sheweth, they hold it in Conscience their duty, while they have further Light from above, and are willing o hear and obey as far as they know, though weak in the Faith, as to clearness in Gospel-Institutions; furely the Text is on their fide, or elfe it will exclude all the former, Him that is meak in the Faith receive you, but not to doubtful diffutations, Rom. 14. 5. Let every man be fully perswaded in his own mind, and such the Lord hath seceived.

As to the Query, What reason's there, why the Lord should suffer any of his Ordinances to be lost?

Anfa. If there were no Reason to be shown, it should teach us filence, for he doth nothing without the highest Reason ; and there doth appear some Reasons, in the Old Testament, why those Ordinances of Vrim and Thummim, &c. were suffered to be lost in the Captivity, that they might long, and look for the Lord Jefus, the Prieft, that was to ftand up with Vrim and Thummin, Ezra. 2. 63. Neh. 7. 65. which the Lord by this puts them upon the hoping for, and to be in The expectation of fo great a mercy, which was the promise of the Old Testament, and all the Churches loffes in the New Testament: By all the dark night of ignorance the hath been in, and long captivity the hatti been under, and in her wandering wildernes-flate, wherein the hath rather been fed with Manna from Heaven, than by men upon Earth; and after all her croffes and loffes, the Lord less Light break in by degrees, and deliverance by little and little ; and the is coming out of the Wilderness leaning upon her Beloved ; and the Lord hach given the Valley of Actor for a Door of Hope, that e're long the may receive the Promise of the Gospel richly, by the Spirie to be poured upon us from on High, Ha. 32. 19. and the Wildernets be a fruiful Field, and the fruitful Field become a Forrest,

and then the Lard will take away the covering cast over all people. Ha a gent and the Mail that is spread over all Nations: Ifd. 15,90 For the Earth Shall be filled mish the Knew ladge of the Lords at the Westers cover the Seas yerl 13. Then Ephraim feall not entir Judaha and Judah Shall not vex Ephraim. Thus will the God of Peace bruile Stran: under-fort thorsty: and one Realon why the Lord may fuffer all this Darkness and Differences than have been, and yet are, is, that we might long and look for this bleffed Brownie of the

of this Text rich to Object. But many Authors do judge, that the weak and strong were all in Church-fellowthis before, and that the necesoing Rom, 14.1. was but into mutual affection a vos la

Golpel, the pourings out of the Spirite id of wa

the Lard as well year to the five of Anfw. It ought to be feriously weighed how any differ from to many worthy Authors, is confelled, to whom the World is lo much beholding for their help in many things; but it would be of dangerous confequencesto take all for granted they fay, and unlike the poble Bereaus, Acts 17, 11. Though they had some infallible Teachers, yet they took not their Words or Doctrine upon truft; and there may be more ground to queltion Expofitors on this Text, in regard their Principles necessirate them to judge that the sense : for if it be in their Judgments a Dury to compel all to come in, and to receive all and their Children, they must needs judge by that

te. na nd e:s by

wb.

be

oth

and

BIC

im

tin

nd

vas

ra.

his

in

was

all

nt:

a:h

ın-

he 2 ve1 11-11

be

4. ul ıt,

d

Text,

Text, they were all of the Church, and in Fellowship, before their forupling Means and Dayes, because that is an Act of grown perfons at years of differenion; and therefore the secciving is judged by them to be onely into securial affection, for it is impossible for thom to Hold their Opinion, and judge otherwise of the Text ; for in Baptism, they judge Infines should be received into Church-fellowthip ; and then scrupling Meats and Dayes must needs be often joyning. Their Judgements might as well be raken, that it is a Duty to baptize Infants, as that they can judge of this Text rightly, and hold their pra-Aice.

Object. But no uneirenmeifed Perfon was to eat the Paffeover, Exod, 12, And det not the Lord as well require, the fign of Baprifm now, as of Circumcifion then? and is there por like reason for it?

Anfw. The Lord, in the Old Testament. expectly commanded no uncircumcifed Personthould eat the Palleover, Exed. 12. 28, and in Exek. 34. 9. that no ftranger, uncircumcifed in heart, or uncircumcifed in flish, should enter into his Sanctuary : And had the Lord commanded that no unbaptized Perlon fhould enter into his Churches, it had been clear: And no doubt, Christ was as faithfulas a Son in all his House, as Mofes was as a Servant and although there had been like reason, if the Lord had commanded it so 123

to be, yet in God's Worthin we mall not make the likeness of any thing in our reason, but the Will of God, the Ground of Davy for upon such a Foundation some would build the bapting of Infants, because it would be like unto Circumcifion, and so break the Second Commandment, in making the likeness of things of their own contrivance, of force with Institutions in the Worthip of God.

0

.

The most that I think can be faid is, That we have no Gaspel Example for secting without Baptism, or rejecting any for want of it: Therefore it is defixed, what hach been said, may be considered, left while we look for an Example, we do not overlook a Command upon a mistake, supposing that they were all in Church-sellowship before, whereas the Text saith not so, but, Him that is weak in the Faith receive you, or unto you.

We may see also how the Lord proceeds under the Law, though he accounts those things that were done contrary to his Law, sinful, though done ignorantly; yet never required the Offender to offer Sacrifice till he knew thereof, Levit. 5. 5. comp. with 15, & 16 verses. And that may be a mans own fin through his ignorance; that though it may be anothers Duty to endeavour to inform him in, yet not thereupon to keep him out of his Father's House; for surely the Lord would not have any of his Children kept out, without we have a word for it. And though they scruple

Differences chant Water Baptifm;

foruple forms Means in their Fathers House, yet it may be dangerous for the stronger Children to deay them all the rest of the dainties there in pill the weak and fick can eat strong Mean; whereas Feire had Mean for one, and Milk for another; and Feer must feed the poor Lambs as well as the Sheep; and if others will not do at, the Great Shepheard will come ere long and look up what harh been driven away.

Exch. 34, 4, 11. I/a. 40, 11. He will feed his Flock like a Shepheard; he shall gather the Lambs into his Bosom, and gently lead, those that are with Young.

The second statement with least been said, the second seco

We may be account the Lord proceeds that the second that a market that the second to the second that the secon

Birks fild by John Williams.

Book Printed and are to be fold by John Wilkins, at his Shop next door to the Exchange Coffice house in Exchange Alley, over against the Royal Exchange, London, s.

of the Bridegroom; in tome an exemite Me. diracons pron . color with a watch. Werd.

Scappie Lexicon: Graco Laring.

Cambridge Contordance to the Holy
Scriptures: with the various Readings both
of Text and Margin; in a more exact method
than both hitherto been extant.

The House of Mourning, furnished

With Preparations of the Hour of Meditations of Death!

Consolations at Delivered in 56 Sermons, Preached at the Funerals of divers Faithful Servants of Christ.

Large Ostavo's.

Orefield's Generation of Seekers: Or, the right manner of the Saints Address to the Throne of Grace; in two Treatiles, &c.

The History of the Administration of Cardinal Ximmel, great Minister of State in

Spain, &c.

Janemays Death unflung; A Sermon preached at the Funeral of Thomas Months, an Apothecary, who died in July 1609.

Small

Books fold by John Wilkins.

Small Ottave's.

Mr. Palmer's Childrens Bread: Or, the the first Principles of the Knowledge of God, to feason the Heads and Hearts of the Young-Ones betimes: by way of Question and Austral.

Score b's Warning-piece for the flumbring Virgins: Or, an Alarm to the Friends of the Bridegroom; in some awakening Meditations upon Christ's own watch-word,

Matth 26.41. Watch and Pray, &c.

The Priviledge of the Saints on Earth, beyoud those in Heaven, in respect of Gifts and
Graces Exercised, Duties and Services performed; Sufferings and Tryals undergone by
them: which the Glorified are not capable of.
Being the sum of a Discourse upon a part of
Hexekiab's Song of Thanksgiving after his
Recovery from his Sickness. By William

Hook, Preacher of the Gospel.

STREET C

Index Biblious Multijugm: Or, a Table to the Holy Scripture: wherein each of its Books, Chapters, and divers Matters are diftinguished and Epitomized. Together with some most eminent Synchronisms, Parallelisms, Reconciliations of places seeming Contradictory, Comparations of Prophesies and their fulfillings; a Chronology to every eminent Epocha of time, and a Harmony of the Four Evangelists, with a Table referring each Verse into its due place and harmony, &c. All which, and their respective Uses, are explained in the Episte to the Reader.

Books fold by John Wilkins:

Small Twelves.

Drexelin on Eternity.

A Token for Children: being an exact account of the Conversion, Holy and Exemplary Lives and Joytul Deaths of several young Children: by James J

The Shadow of the Tree of Life: Or, a Discourse of Divine Institution, and most Effectual Applications of Medicinal Remedies, in order to the Preservation of Health,

by J. M.

he

d,

gnd

n-

ds

c-

d,

d r-

fis

\$

1



